### **CABINET**

#### 3 March 2004

#### Attendance:

#### Councillors:

Campbell (Chairman) (P)

Beveridge (P)
Cook (P)
Evans (P)
Hiscock (P)

Learney (P)
Nelmes (P)
Wagner (P)

#### Others in Attendance and Speaking:

Councillors Allgood and Davies Mr B Whitfield (TACT)

### Others in Attendance and not Speaking:

Councillors Bennetts and Mitchell Mr A Rickman (TACT)

#### 1146. **LEADER AND PORTFOLIO HOLDER ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Chairman advised that a letter had recently been sent to the County Council Leader concerning the ongoing subject of schools in Whiteley. The letter would be made available to the press shortly.

In addition, the Chairman reported that the new Park and Ride facility at Bar End had formally been opened last week and had already resulted in approximately an additional 150 cars using Park and Ride.

Finally, the Chairman stated that a meeting concerning West of Waterlooville had recently been held and discussed the need to ensure that, if possible, the proposed southern access road was constructed at an early stage of the development.

The Portfolio Holder for Community Services reported that an Archaeological lecture had been held the previous evening and had been very well attended.

The Portfolio Holder for Health and Wellbeing advised that the DEFRA grant of £5million had been received by the County Council, of which £250,000 was earmarked for the City Council to support the Waste Minimisation and Recycling Pilot which would be launched later this year.

The Portfolio Holder also reported that a refurbishment of the toilets in Jewry Street was currently under way.

## 1147. **MINUTES**

#### RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the previous meeting held 11 February 2004 (less exempt items) be approved and adopted.

#### 1148. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mr R Atkins, Chairman of New Alresford Town Council, advised that the Town Council had approved the lowest tenderer for the proposed skateboard park (Report CAB830 below on the agenda refers). He also stated that, contrary to the report, the related planning application was not due to go to Planning Development Control Committee until April 2004.

With reference to his comments made at the previous Cabinet meeting, Mr Atkins reported that the Director of Health and Housing had agreed to provide occupancy figures for Chiltern Court, Alresford.

Mr Atkins also spoke on the subject of Jacklyns Lane Bridge stating that the Town Council would address the concerns relating to safety and disabled and elderly persons' access. The possibility of diverting developers' contributions towards this was being investigated and he requested Cabinet support on this matter.

Finally, Mr Atkins advised that the Town Council were seeking Football Foundation funding for Arlebury Park. He requested that Cabinet consider whether the City Council could also provide some funding.

In response, the Chairman noted Mr Atkins' comments and stated that a response would be provided as appropriate. In relation to Jacklyns Lane Bridge, the Director of Community Services confirmed that it was not possible to divert contributions already allocated to Open Space Funds, but suggested that the Town Council discuss with the Development Services Department whether any future contributions from developments could be used.

With regard to Chiltern Court, the Director of Health and Housing confirmed that he would provide figures on vacancies. On a wider issue, he also mentioned that the City Council had received correspondence from the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister congratulating the Council on its initiatives regarding "hard to let" properties.

Mr P Warren, the Bursar of Peter Symond's College, spoke in objection to the proposed changes to waiting restrictions on Hatherley Road, Winchester (CAB820 below on the Minutes refers). He emphasised the points made in his letter (attached as Appendix E to the report) and in particular disputed the assertion that either any problems of access had occurred or that there was insufficient residents parking available in the area. He distributed some photographs of parking in the area to illustrate his points.

In response, the Chairman stated that Mr Warren's comments would be taken into account in consideration of report CAB820.

#### 1149. TENANT PARTICIPATION (TP) COMPACT REVIEW

(Report CAB816 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr B Whitfield (TACT) emphasised that tenants, Councillors and Officers had spent a considerable amount of time preparing the TP Compact. He requested Cabinet's approval of the document.

Cabinet welcomed the TP Compact and thanked all those involved in its preparation, in particular TACT members and the Tenant Participation Manager, for all their hard work. The Chairman requested that the Compact should now be fully publicised.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

That the Tenant Participation Compact 'Working Together. A Tenants Compact for Winchester' be approved, as set out in Appendix 1 of the report.

## 1150. COMMUNITY GRANTS – COMMUNITY CHEST POLICY AND CRITERIA 2004/05 (Report CAB825 refers)

In response to questions, the Director of Community Services advised that the quarterly application deadline dates would continue in future years, although it might be possible to move to a "rolling" application system in due course.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

- 1. That the principles, policy and criteria for Community Chest grant scheme, as described in the report, be approved.
- 2. That the allocation of £10,000 in 2004/05 within the Community Grants budget be approved.
- 3. That the making of awards from the Community Chest scheme be delegated to the Director of Community Services in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Community Services pending the review of Portfolio Holder delegation which is currently being undertaken.

## 1151. **COMMUNITY GRANTS – REVENUE AWARDS 2004/05**

(Report CAB826 refers)

Councillor Evans declared a personal and prejudicial interest in respect of this item as she was on the Management Board of Bishops Waltham Citizens' Advice Bureau (CAB), and left the meeting during consideration of the relevant application.

Councillor Beveridge declared a personal and prejudicial interest in respect of this item as he was a recently retired former director/trustee of the Winchester Churches Housing Group, and left the meeting during consideration of the relevant application.

Councillor Nelmes declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of this item as the Council's appointed representative on the Winchester Area Community Action, and spoke and voted thereon.

Councillor Hiscock declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of this item as the Council's appointed representative on the Trinity Centre Management Committee, and spoke and voted thereon.

Councillor Bennetts declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of this item as the Council's appointed representative on the Winchester Housing Trust, and remained in the meeting during consideration of this application.

Councillor Allgood declared a personal and prejudicial interest in respect of this item as a trustee of the Denmead Festival, and left the meeting during consideration of the relevant application.

Councillor Allgood also declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest as the Council's appointed representative on the Waterlooville CAB. Under Council Procedure Rule 35, he addressed Cabinet regarding the CAB's grant application. In summary, he requested that to ensure consistency, the Waterlooville CAB receive the same percentage increase as the other CABs within the District. The financial implications of this would be minimal (a one per cent increase, in line with Winchester and Bishops Waltham CABs, would result in an additional £12 being awarded). Councillor Allgood advised that the Waterlooville CAB were now holding regular sessions in Denmead.

Following discussion of this request, Cabinet agreed that the Waterlooville CAB should be awarded the same percentage increase as the other CABs.

During discussion of Recommendation 5, one Member commented that the potential client base for King Alfred's Youth Activity Centre (KAYAC) could include people who lived outside the town boundaries. This should be investigated further when the Town Forum was asked to consider whether it wished to make an award to KAYAC from the Town Charge. The Director of Community Services requested that the recommendation be amended to enable the making of any grant to KAYAC to be approved by himself, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Community Services, should the Town Forum agree to the making of an award from the Town Charge.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

1. That the following revenue grant awards for key clients for 2004/05 from the approved revenue grants budget be approved, on condition that each organisation enters into a clearly defined service level agreement with appropriate performance targets:

(i) Winchester Area Community Action £99,024

(ii) Winchester Citizens Advice Bureau £125,298

(iii) Bishop Waltham Citizens Advice Bureau £40,020

(iv)	Trinity Centre	£84,840
(v)	Hatfair	£33,330

2. That subject to recommendation 5 below, the following revenue grant awards for 2004/05 from the approved revenue grants budget be approved, on condition that each organisation enters into clearly defined service level agreement with appropriate performance targets:

(i)	Bishop Waltham Festival	£3,000
(ii)	Carroll Youth Centre	£4,700
(iii)	Homestart Meon Valley	£10,000
(iv)	Relate	£5,000
(v)	SNAPS	£1,133
(vi)	Tower Arts Centre	£21,000
(vii)	Waterlooville Citizens Advice Bureau	£1,272
(viii)	Winchester Carer Centre	£1,056
(ix)	Winchester Detached Youth Project	£8,500
(x)	Winchester Group for Disabled People	£1,500
(xi)	Winchester Young Carers	£2,100
(xii)	Winchester Festival	£2,000
(xiii)	Winnall Youth Club	£1,000
(xiv)	Bird in the Hand Employment Project	£1,170
(xv)	Winchester Churches Housing Group Ltd	£3,000
(xvi)	Winchester Churches Nightshelter	£10,000
(xvii)	ROCC	£2,000
(xviii)	SCRATCH	£1,000
(xix)	Stonham Housing Association	£6,000
(xx)	Victoria Housing Project	£4,350
(xxi)	Winchester Area Community Action	£5,000
(xxii)	Winchester Housing Trust Ltd	£5,000

- 3. That the following awards for 2004/05 from the Revenue Grant Reserve account be approved, on condition that each organisation enters into a clearly defined service level agreement with appropriate performance targets:
- (i) Winchester and District Savers £5,000
- (ii) Knowle Community Buildings Association £5,000
- 4. That it is with regret that the following applications are unable to be supported:
- (i) Roving Action Teams (RATS)
- (ii) Early Music Now
- (iii) Winchester Basics Bank
- (iv) Denmead Festival
- (v) Kudos Employment Ltd
- (vi) Winchester Bereavement Support
- (vii) 14-25 Winchester Youth Counselling
- (viii) The Winged Fellowship
- (ix) The Olive Branch
- (x) King Alfred's Youth Activity Centre
- (xi) DeafPLUS
- (xii) SVA Disability Awareness
- (xiii) Winchester Festival of Art and The Mind
- (xiv) Friends of the Family (Winchester)
- (xv) Colden Common Preschool Playgroup
- (xvi) Winchester Alliance for Mental Health
- (xvii) Rape and Sexual Abuse Counselling
- (xviii) Whiteley First Responders Scheme
- 5. That the Winchester Town Forum be invited to consider providing the funding for the proposed grants to the Carroll Youth Centre and Winnall Youth Club from the Town Charge in view of the constraints on the Community Services grants budget and the balances available for the Town Charge. Should this be acceptable to the Town Forum, a 2.5% increase in grants to key clients and Waterlooville CAB (based on 2003/04 grant awards) be approved.

- 6. That the Winchester Town Forum be requested to consider whether it wishes to recommend that an award be made to King Alfred's Youth Activity Centre (KAYAC), having regard to advice from the Director of Community Services.
- 7. That, should the Winchester Town Forum recommend an award to KAYAC, the Director of Community Services be given delegated authority, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Community Services, to make the grant.
- 8. That the allocation from the revenue grant budget of £10,000, plus any surplus from the revenue grant budget after the allocation of the above grants be approved, for 2004/05 for the establishment of a Community Chest programme.

### 1152. GUILDHALL PRICING POLICY REVIEW

(Report CAB788 refers)

The Portfolio Holder for Community Services proposed that this report be deferred to the next Cabinet meeting on 17 March, to allow it to first be considered by the Community, Arts and Social Performance Improvement Committee on 9 March. This was agreed.

### **RESOLVED:**

That the above report be deferred to the next Cabinet meeting on 17 March 2004.

### 1153. **SOUTH DOWNS INTERIM MANAGEMENT PLAN**

(Report CAB807 refers)

One Member expressed concerns about the implications for housing policies in surrounding areas if the South Downs National Park was established. This was noted.

In response to questions, the Director of Development Services advised that National Parks in general were funded 75% by the Government and 25% by local authorities and National Park Authorities had precepting powers.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the City Council's support for the principles and policies of the Interim South Downs Management Plan be confirmed and its submission to DEFRA be authorised, subject to the provisos that: (a) the Plan will be further improved and refined during the coming six months and that a consolidated Plan will be made available to the Council, prior to any public consultation and that; (b) further work on enhancing the Interim Plan should include additional sections relating to the needs of the Historic Environment, the provision of a co-ordinated Tourism Strategy and the further development of a comprehensive data-set for the South Downs area.

## 1154. DRAFT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT (Report WDLP44 refers)

Cabinet noted that the above report was discussed and supported by the Winchester District Local Plan Committee on 26 February 2004.

In response to questions, the Chief Executive advised that at the current time, the role of County Councils in the Government's proposed new planning system was unclear, as the proposed legislation only referred to Districts and Strategic Regional bodies. He continued that the proposals offered an opportunity for the planning framework to be used more creatively and linked in with other aspects of the Council's work, such as the Community Strategy.

Cabinet noted that the proposal would have resource implications for the Development Services Department, particularly when the new scheme was operating concurrently with the existing scheme.

With regard to the implications for Village Design Statements, Cabinet were advised that if such Statements were not adopted by July 2004, they would have to be completed again. The Chairman requested that all parish councils and other local bodies who were known to be working on these Statements be contacted as soon as possible to advise them of the situation.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the contents of the draft Local Development Scheme attached as Appendix 1 to the Report be noted.

### 1155. PROJECT INTEGRA ANNUAL BUSINESS PLAN 2004/05

(Report CAB813 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

That the Project Integra Annual Business Plan for 2004/05 be endorsed.

# 1156. <u>DEVELOPING CABINET MEMBER ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES – TAKING THE MODERNISATION AGENDA FORWARD</u>

(Report CAB831 refers)

Under Council Procedure Rule 35, Councillor Davies sought clarification about whether it was appropriate for traffic orders (TROs) to be delegated to Portfolio Holders for decision. His concern related to the need to ensure any public wishing to speak on such items were given adequate opportunity to do so. He queried whether the decision could be taken by a regulatory body, such as the Licensing and Regulation Committee. In response, the City Secretary and Solicitor confirmed that the making or alteration of TROs was an executive decision and as such had to be taken by either Cabinet, a Cabinet Committee or a Portfolio Holder.

Following discussion, Cabinet agreed that the issue of public representation was important and would require further consideration. The related matter of the mechanism by which a Portfolio Holder would make a decision was also raised as requiring further clarification (for example, whether it was necessary for the decision to be made at a stated time in public). Cabinet suggested that it was important for a timetable of decisions to be taken to be published so that the public was aware of to whom and by what time they should make any representations. One Member commented that publication of a weekly decision list was a practice adopted by some other authorities which could be followed at the Council.

In response to questions about additions to the suggestions for possible areas that could be delegated to Portfolio Holders, the Chief Executive advised that once the list was finalised it was anticipated it would only be reviewed on an annual basis.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

- 1. That with effect from the 2004/5 Municipal Year, Cabinet should normally meet on a monthly cycle.
- 2. That in order to enable Cabinet to concentrate on more strategic items, delegation of some of the more routine business to Portfolio Holders be considered.
- 3. That a further report be brought forward for consideration of Cabinet, Principal Scrutiny Committee and Council on detailed proposals for a scheme of delegation to Portfolio Holders and any other consequential changes required to the Council's Constitution.
- 4. That the proposed areas for delegation listed in paragraph 4.8 of the report be agreed as potentially suitable for such delegation.
- 5. That in addition to a scheme of delegation for Portfolio Holders, job descriptions for Portfolio Holders, Ward Members and other principal office holders be produced to aid both member and public understanding of respective roles and responsibilities.
- 6. That it be recognised that "member accountability statements" are also an important element in making information available to the public on the work that Members undertake.
- 7. That further reports be brought forward to Cabinet, Principal Scrutiny Committee and Council on Member job descriptions and Member accountability statements.

## 1157. RELEASE OF OPEN SPACE FUNDS FOR NEW ALRESFORD TOWN COUNCIL (Report CAB830 refers)

Cabinet noted the comments made by Mr R Atkins in the public participation period.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That, subject to planning permission being obtained, £41,869 be released from the Open Space Fund to New Alresford Town Council for the provision of a new skateboard facility.

## 1158. <u>TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN REVIEW: CONSULTATION ON</u> REVISED DEPOSIT DRAFT (2004)

(Report CAB829 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

That, following the publication of its Revised Deposit Test Valley Borough Local Plan Review (2004), Test Valley Borough Council be advised that the City Council's two earlier objections to the First Deposit Plan had been affected, as follows:

- (i) The City Council's objection to the lack of a positive provision for meeting the possible strategic housing requirement for a Reserve Housing Site at Southern Test Valley had been satisfied by proposed changes STV 07.1A –6A;
- (ii) The City Council's objection to the inclusion of 'special character areas' within the built-up areas of Andover, Romsey and Chilworth had not been satisfied as a result of proposed change SC.4.1.a.

# 1159. PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS – ERSKINE ROAD, WINCHESTER (Report CAB818 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the proposed revision to the Traffic Regulation Order in Erskine Road, Winchester be approved such that the existing 'Permit holders only 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' and 'No waiting 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' waiting restrictions be revised and that 'No waiting at any time' waiting restrictions be introduced.

## 1160. PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS – GREENHILL ROAD, WINCHESTER (Report CAB819 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

That the proposed revision to the Traffic Regulation Order in Greenhill Road, Winchester be approved such that the existing 'Permit holders only 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' and 'No waiting 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' waiting restrictions be revised as detailed.

## 1161. PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS – HATHERLEY ROAD

(Report CAB820 refers)

Cabinet noted the comments made by Mr Warren, Bursar of Peter Symond's College, in the public participation period.

The Portfolio Holder for Transport and Access stated that since the report was prepared, it had been decided that the reported problem of access to garages on Hatherley Road could be solved by the introduction of double-yellow lines across the garage entrance. The existing "2 hour limited waiting with permit holders exception Monday to Saturday" could then be retained on this section of the road. The Director of Development Services stated that he agreed with this revised proposal and confirmed that it would have to be re-advertised.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

#### **RESOLVED:**

- 1. That the proposed revision to the Traffic Regulation Order in Hatherley Road, Winchester as detailed in the above report be not approved.
- 2. That a revised traffic regulation order be advertised to revise the existing parking bays and introduce "no waiting" restrictions across the access to the garage block in Hatherley Road, Winchester, and a further report brought back to Cabinet once the period for objections has expired.

# 1162. PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS – PARK ROAD SPUR, WINCHESTER (Report CAB821 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

That the proposed revision to the Traffic Regulation Order in Park Road spur, Winchester be approved such that the existing 'No waiting 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' waiting restrictions be revised as detailed.

## 1163. PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS – PENINSULA ROAD, WINCHESTER (Report CAB822 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

#### RESOLVED:

That the proposed revision to the Traffic Regulation Order in Peninsula Road, Winchester be approved such that the existing 'Permit holders only 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' and 'No waiting 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday' waiting restrictions be revised as detailed.

# 1164. MINUTES OF THE ICT INFORMAL MEMBER/OFFICER WORKING GROUP (Report CAB815 refers)

Cabinet considered the minutes of the ICT Informal Member/Officer Working Group held 2 February 2004, less exempt items.

#### RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the ICT Informal Member/Officer Working Group held 2 February 2004, less exempt items, be noted.

#### 1165. **FUTURE ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION**

#### RESOLVED:

That the list of future items, as set out in the Council's Forward Plan for March 2004, be noted.

### 1166. **EXEMPT BUSINESS**

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following items of business because it is likely that, if members of the public were present, there would be disclosure to them of 'exempt information' as defined by Section 100I and Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972.

Minute Number	<u>Item</u>	<u>Description of Exempt</u> <u>Information</u>	
1167	Exempt Minutes of the previous meeting	) Information relating to any ) particular applicant for, or ) recipient or former recipient of,	
1168 & 1175	Recruitment Advertising Contract	) any financial assistance ) provided by the authority. (Para ) 5 to Schedule 12A refers).	
1169 & 1176	Office Cleaning Contract	) ) Information relating to the ) financial or business affairs of	
1170	Term Maintenance Contract for Heating and Associated Systems	) any particular person (other ) than the authority). (Para 7 ) Schedule 12A refers).	
1171	Term Maintenance Contract for Building	<ul><li>) Any instructions to counsel and</li><li>) any opinion of counsel (whether</li><li>) or not in connection with any</li><li>) proceedings) and any advice</li></ul>	
	Cleaning Service	) received, information obtained ) or action to be taken in	
1172 & 1181	Conversion of 80-82 Sussex Street, Winchester	) connection with:- ) (a) any legal proceedings by ) or against the authority, or	
1173 & 1180	4 The Square Winchester	<ul> <li>(b) the determination of any</li> <li>matter affecting the authority,</li> <li>(whether, in either case,</li> <li>proceedings have been</li> <li>commenced or are in</li> <li>contemplation). (Para 12 to</li> <li>Schedule 12A refers).</li> </ul>	
		) Information relating to the ) financial or business affairs of ) any particular person (other ) than the authority). (Para 7 ) Schedule 12A refers).	
		) Any terms proposed or to be ) proposed by or to the authority ) in the course of negotiations for ) a contract for the acquisition or ) disposal of property or the ) supply of goods or services. ) (Para 9 to Schedule 12A) refers).	

1174 Exempt Minutes of the ICT Informal Member/Officer Working Group

) Information relating to the ) financial or business affairs of ) any particular person (other ) than the authority). (Para 7 ) Schedule 12A refers).

The amount of any expenditure proposed to be incurred by the authority under any particular contract for the acquisition of property or the supply of goods or services. (Para 8 to Schedule 12A refers).

Any terms proposed or to be proposed by or to the authority in the course of negotiations for a contract for the acquisition or disposal of property or the supply of goods or services. (Para 9 to Schedule 12A) refers).

### 1167. **EXEMPT MINUTES**

**RESOLVED:** 

That the exempt minutes of the previous meeting held 11 February 2004 be approved and adopted.

### 1168. RECRUITMENT ADVERTISING CONTRACT

(Report CAB812 refers)

Cabinet considered the above report which set out proposals regarding the award of a recruitment advertising contract (detail in exempt minute).

#### 1169. OFFICE CLEANING CONTRACT

(Report CAB817 refers)

Cabinet considered the above report which set out proposals regarding the award of an office cleaning contract (detail in exempt minute).

# 1170. <u>TENDER REPORT – TERM MAINTENANCE CONTRACT FOR HEATING AND ASSOCIATED SYSTEMS</u>

(Report CAB814 refers)

Cabinet considered the above report which set out proposals regarding the award of a Term Maintenance Contract for Heating and Associated Systems (detail in exempt minute).

# 1171. <u>TENDER REPORT – TERM MAINTENANCE CONTRACT FOR BUILDING CLEANING SERVICES</u>

(Report CAB823 refers)

Cabinet considered the above report which set out proposals regarding the award of a Term Maintenance Contract for Building Cleaning Services (detail in exempt minute).

# 1172. CONVERSION OF 80-82 SUSSEX STREET, WINCHESTER – APPROVED LIST OF CONTRACTORS

(Report CAB827 refers)

Cabinet considered the above report which set out proposals regarding the conversion of 80-82 Sussex Street and approval of a select list of contractors (detail in exempt minute).

### 1173. 4 THE SQUARE, WINCHESTER

(Report CAB828 refers)

Cabinet considered the above report which set out proposals regarding the above property (detail in exempt minute).

# 1174. EXEMPT MINUTES OF THE ICT INFORMAL MEMBER/OFFICER WORKING GROUP

(Report CAB815 refers)

Cabinet considered the exempt minutes of the ICT Informal Member/Officer Working Group held 2 February 2004.

**RESOLVED:** 

That the exempt minutes of the ICT Informal Member/Officer Working Group held 2 February 2004 be noted.

The meeting commenced at 9.00am and concluded at 11.10am