

CABINET

17 January 2007

Attendance:

Councillor Beckett – *Leader and Portfolio Holder for Economy and Tourism* (Chairman) (P)

Councillor Allgood – *Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources* (P)

Councillor Coates – *Portfolio Holder for Housing and Communities*

Councillor Hollingbery – *Portfolio Holder for Performance and Communications* (P)

Councillor Pearson – *Portfolio Holder for Environment, Health and Safety* (P)

Councillor Stallard - *Portfolio Holder for Culture, Heritage and Sport* (P)

Councillor Wood – *Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport* (P)

Others in attendance who addressed the meeting:

Councillors Bennetts, Beveridge, Busher, Hiscock, Learney and Sutton

Mr A Rickman (TACT)

Others in attendance who did not address the meeting:

Councillor Mather

Mr J Bond (TACT)

1. **APOLOGIES**

Apologies were received from Councillor Coates.

2. **MINUTES**

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the previous meeting (less exempt items), held on 13 December 2006, be approved and adopted.

3. **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mr Tim Jones (Sleepers Hill Residents' Association) spoke in support of the adoption of the Sleepers Hill Local Area Design Statement and thanked the officers involved in its production. His detailed comments are outlined under Report CAB1380 below.

Mr Alan Weeks (Winchester City Residents' Association) spoke regarding the Silver Hill development and his comments are summarised below:

- He believed that there was a wide diversity between the planning brief and the development brief (for example, a minimum of 100 dwellings was changed to 364) and queried why this had occurred.
- He asked when Cabinet had approved the development brief and whether a design brief had accompanied the proposal;

- He asked whether any of the official consultees (which he listed as the City Council; the City of Winchester Trust; Winchester Residents' Association; and the City Centre Partnership) were consulted on the physical changes proposed.

In response, the Chairman highlighted that Cabinet was only considering the Council's position as landlord and the financial impacts on the adjustments proposed by the developers, Thornfields. Members were not examining the planning aspects. Once the planning application had been received, consultation would take place under the statutory process, including with the Residents' Association. However, he stated that although they would be consulted, the Association and other bodies listed by Mr Weeks were not official consultees.

Ms Judith Burnett addressed Cabinet on behalf of the Kings Walk traders regarding the Silver Hill proposals. The traders had established a group, "To Save Kings Walk", to campaign to prevent the demolition of the Antiques Market and had organised a petition with over 3,600 signatures. This was not made available to the meeting. The group believed that the style and scale of the proposed new development was unacceptable for Winchester and wished to register its objection to the scheme.

The Chairman thanked Ms Burnett for her comments and advised that the petition and other concerns should be directed to the Head of Planning Control.

Mrs Pat Edwards (City of Winchester Trust) expressed concern that the City Council were placing unreasonable demands on the Silver Hill site by setting its requirements for what should be included in the development. She also believed that although objections to the scale of development had been registered, there was no indication that the adjustments would take account of these concerns.

The Chairman responded that notice had been taken of the consultations carried out so far and the Trust could determine for itself whether this was the case when the revised plans were made publicly available.

Mrs Edwards also spoke about the Local Development Scheme (Report CAB1389 below refers) and queried when Winchester Town would be consulted, as it appeared that consultation plans only related to the parishes.

The Chief Executive clarified that the Local Development Scheme outlined a process the City Council would go through in producing the Local Development Framework. The process would involve extensive consultation, including with the Winchester Town Forum and public meetings in the Town area.

Councillor Hiscock addressed Cabinet as a Ward Member for St Bartholomew and Governor of St Bedes Primary School. He explained that because of the restrictive nature of their location, the school utilised the neighbouring park for some of its outside activities. However, that week the school were using the park as before, but were asked to leave because they had not booked and did not have the appropriate insurance. He emphasised that if the school were prevented from using the park, this would severely limit its activities and requested that urgent investigation take place to ascertain the current situation.

The Chairman undertook to investigate this point and respond to Councillor Hiscock within the week.

4. **LEADER AND PORTFOLIO HOLDERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS**

There were no announcements made.

5. **CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2006/07 TO 2011/12**
(Report CAB1378 refers)

Councillor Allgood advised that since the Report was produced, it had been suggested that amendments should be made to the E-Government capital programme. These changes should emphasise that the Electronic Document Record Management System (EDRMS) should take priority and smaller projects be deferred. An Addendum to the Report setting out these changes in more detail was circulated at the meeting. The Chairman agreed to accept the Addendum onto the agenda as an item requiring urgent consideration, in view of the need to determine the matter at this meeting and maintain progress with the Capital Programme process.

At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Alan Rickman (TACT) and Councillor Learney spoke regarding this item and their comments are summarised below.

Mr Rickman referred to the TACT comments outlined in Paragraph 12 of the Report and, in particular, the recognition of the difficulties caused to the Council by Government rules upon subsidy levels. He advised that Councillor Coates had written to the Government to challenge the subsidy rules that required this Council to pay 40 per cent of its HRA income to the Government.

The Chairman thanked Mr Rickman for his comments.

Councillor Learney outlined the concerns of the Liberal Democrat Group regarding the projections outlined in the Report. For example, organisational development was listed as a saving, but there were no resources put aside to fund the related costs. In addition, there was no provision for developments to CCTV or for new City Offices. She also expressed concern about the long term implications for the Council of proposing to fund an improvement programme from ad-hoc council house sales. She believed that the proposals would result in the Council's revenue budget being depleted and also the Council having to rely on borrowing to meet shortfalls to fund the capital programme.

The Chairman noted the comments but emphasised that much of the capital programme had been set out by the previous administration. In addition, he did not accept that borrowing was the only option for the Council, as a review of the use of capital assets could also be undertaken.

In response to questions, the Director of Finance advised that any costs from organisational development were likely to be funded from the Major Investment Reserve. However, she emphasised that it would be reasonable for any such reorganisation to generate on-going savings which could be used to replenish Council reserves.

The Director of Finance advised that approval of the Capital Programme set out in the above Report was subject to agreement of the detailed proposals set out in Reports CAB1394 and CAB1348 below.

The Chairman emphasised that the Capital Programme had been discussed in detail by Cabinet with officers prior to the meeting and the Report and Addendum reflected the outcome of these discussions.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RECOMMENDED:

THAT THE SCHEME OF DELEGATION TO PORTFOLIO HOLDERS BE AMENDED TO PERMIT THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR HOUSING AND COMMUNITIES TO AUTHORISE THE COMMITMENT OF DEVELOPERS CONTRIBUTIONS TO SUPPORT SPECIFIC AFFORDABLE HOUSING SCHEMES SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE RESOURCES.

RESOLVED:

1. That the revised cash flow for schemes in the 2006/07 Capital Programme be approved and the projected carry forward of £4.6m be noted.
2. That approval in principle be given to capital growth bids outlined in the Report, as revised by the Addendum, for inclusion in the budget for consideration by Council at its meeting to be held on 28 February 2007.

6. **REFURBISHMENT AND IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCES IN ABBEY GARDENS**

(Report CAB1394 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Bennetts spoke in support of the proposed refurbishment. He queried what provision would be made in the new facilities to allow access for disabled users.

The Director of Communities confirmed that disabled access would continue to be by means of a RADAR key. These keys were issued to disabled persons by the Council and were also made available at the Tourist Information Centre and Guildhall. In addition, Shopmobility users were provided with a key.

The Director of Communities confirmed that it was intended to either provide temporary ladies toilets in Abbey Gardens when the work was being carried out, or to put up direction signs to the Market Lane facilities. The work would be scheduled for the winter months when usage was likely to be lower.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the proposals to improve the Public Conveniences as set out in Section 2 of the Report be approved, subject to Council approval of £150,000 being included for the works in the 2007/08 Capital Programme.
2. That the Project Initiation Document (PID) and Capital Appraisal Document set out in Appendix 3 and 4 of the Report be approved.

3. That a direction be made under Contract Procedure Rule 3.3(a), to enable Radley House Partnership (Conservation Architects) to continue as Lead Consultant for the works as defined in Section 3 of the Report.

7. **CAPITAL FUNDING FOR MEADOWSIDE LEISURE CENTRE**
(Report CAB1348 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Sutton queried whether the proposed works would be funded from the Whiteley Open Space Fund or the Whiteley Reserve Fund.

The Director of Finance confirmed that it would not be funded from either source.

Members commented that it was unfortunate that repair work was required so soon after the Centre had been built, but recognised that the works were essential on health and safety grounds. Councillor Stallard confirmed that the Council was considering possible claims for this work.

Councillor Allgood mentioned that the possibility of utilising any future LAGBI funding was being investigated.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That subject to Council approval as part of the Budget process on 28 February 2007, approval be given to £115,600 for essential repairs and improvement works at Meadowside Leisure Centre.

2. That delegated authority be given to the Director of Communities, in consultation with the City Secretary and Solicitor, Director of Finance and the Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, to pursue possible claims associated with the necessary work, to the extent that he considers appropriate, taking into account the costs and risks involved.

8. **COMPTON DOWN AND SLEEPER'S HILL LOCAL AREA DESIGN STATEMENTS (LADs) - ADOPTION**
(Report CAB1380 refers)

The Chairman (Councillor Beckett) declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of this item, as some of his family lived in the Compton Down area, but they were not affected to any different extent than any other residents in the area. He therefore spoke and voted on the item.

Mr T Jones (Sleepers Hill Residents' Association) made the following points in the public participation period at the start of the meeting:

- The inclusion of the transport section was supported and the intention to strengthen the transport guidelines was welcomed. In particular, the fact that development would be resisted if it would result in a 'material' increase in traffic (defined as up to 5 per cent increase) unless and until the Sleepers Hill junctions were improved;
- However, he stressed that it was important that the effect of incremental development was also taken account of;

- It was accepted it was not appropriate to include the Richard Parker report;
- The Association would like the opportunity to examine the final document before it was published.

Councillor Wood advised that the wording of the Sleepers Hill LADs relating to transport guidelines required amendment, to clarify that it referred to Sleepers Hill Road itself and not just its junctions. He requested delegated authority be granted to the Chief Executive, in consultation with himself as Portfolio Holder, to enable this. He confirmed this amendment would also address the concerns raised by Mr Jones relating to the impact of incremental development.

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Beveridge spoke in support of the Sleepers Hill LADs and advised he had been involved in its preparation. He noted that the Winchester Town Forum had contributed towards the costs of LADs in the town area, and suggested that parishes be approached to make similar contributions in the future. He requested clarification of the material increase in traffic flows and its meaning in percentage terms. He also noted that the LADs referred to PPG3 which had been superseded by PPS3, and suggested that amendments might be necessary to reflect this change.

In response, Councillor Wood confirmed that the references to PPG3 would be amended. The Chief Executive advised that the five per cent figure quoted had been provided by the Institute of Transport and defined what was meant by a material increase. The base line figure would be calculated by undertaking a traffic survey once the policy was agreed and the incremental impact of each development would be taken into account.

With regard to the request by the Sleepers Hill Residents' Association to see the final document before it was published, Councillor Wood agreed to discuss this matter further with officers and respond to Mr Jones.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the Compton Down Local Area Design Statement and the Sleeper's Hill Local Area Design Statement, as proposed to be revised following public consultation, be adopted as Supplementary Planning Documents to the Winchester District Local Plan Review.
2. That the Chief Executive, in consultation with the Portfolio-holder for Planning and Transport, be authorised to undertake any minor editing and up-dating changes that may be necessary before publication, including the matters referred to above in the preamble to the minute.
3. That the Chief Executive undertakes the necessary procedural/regulatory requirements to enable the adoption of the LADS as Supplementary Planning Documents.

9. **LOCAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME (LDS) 2007**
(Report CAB1389 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Beveridge expressed concern that the guidelines did not allow any further Supplementary Planning Document, not already identified, to be included in the LDF for 2007. Any additional changes would only be considered in exceptional circumstances, agreed by the Government Office for the South East (GOSE).

The Chief Executive confirmed this was the situation.

In response to questions, the Chief Executive advised that the Appendix only listed those Village Design Statements which had an agreed programme in place for them to be produced.

One Member queried whether Parish Plans should be included within the LDS. The Chief Executive confirmed that, although not included in the LDS, Parish Plans were part of the evidence base which would be used to develop the Local Development Framework.

Cabinet noted that the existence of the South Downs Joint Committee's Management Plan should be reflected in the LDS. This was agreed.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the Revised Winchester District Local Development Scheme, attached at Appendix A to the Report with the amendment to recognise the South Downs Management Plan outlined above, be approved for submission to the Government Office for the South East.

2. That the Chief Executive be given delegated authority, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, to agree any minor changes which may be needed to address issues raised by the Government Office for the South East.

10. **STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT: RECEIPT OF INSPECTOR'S REPORT**
(Report CAB1376 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the Planning Inspector's recommendations on the City Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) be accepted, and the SCI be adopted as amended by those changes referred to in the Inspector's report.

2. That the Chief Executive be authorised to publish the adopted document.

11. **SOUTH DOWNS JOINT COMMITTEE – EXTENSION OF AGREEMENT**
(Report CAB1388 refers)

Councillor Allgood declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of this item, as a Member of the South Downs Joint Committee. He therefore spoke and voted on the item.

Councillor Wood stated that, because of the late availability of the Report, he had not been able to examine its proposals in detail. He therefore requested that delegated authority be granted to the Chief Executive, in consultation with himself, to make any necessary changes prior to the next meeting of the Joint Committee on 26 January 2007.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the need to maintain the continuity of interim management arrangements for the South Downs be noted, and it be accepted in principle, therefore, to endorse an extension of the current Agreement constituting the South Downs Joint Committee, for a further three-year period terminating on 31 March 2011.

2. That Cabinet agrees in principle to maintain funding for the work of the Committee, at current levels adjusted for inflation, for the duration of this extended period.

3. That the Chief Executive, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, be given delegated authority to make a final decision upon the period of extension of the agreement and associated level of City Council funding, not exceeding the guidance outlined in Resolutions 1 and 2 above.

12. **CCTV – AN EVALUATION OF THE BENEFITS**
(Report CAB1383 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillors Busher and Bennetts spoke regarding this item and their comments are summarised below.

Councillor Busher highlighted the problems caused by anti-social behaviour and vandalism in Bishops Waltham and requested that the CCTV system in the town be upgraded.

Councillor Bennetts also requested that the existing CCTV system be extended to cover areas outside of Winchester City Centre. For example, he mentioned incidents of anti-social behaviour and vandalism in Stockbridge Road, Wales Street, Water Lane and Garbett Road. He queried whether a timetable for the review, and preferably extension of CCTV, could be produced.

The Chairman thanked the Councillors for their comments and agreed that the proposed evaluation would have regard to the points raised.

One Member commented that CCTV was only one method of reducing crime and making people feel safer. He requested that any future proposal for allocating additional resources should also have regard to other potential means by which similar outcomes could be achieved, for example through partnership working.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the results of the studies that have been undertaken be noted.

2. That the continuation of the project to replace the CCTV control centre, update the CCTV system itself including the hardware and software and renew an operating contract at the expiry of the present contract in 2007 be agreed, subject to agreement of further reports on those aspects of the project.

13. **EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY REVIEW**
(Report CAB1392 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Sutton expressed concern that the Review did not make specific mention of the requirements of gypsies and travellers, despite the fact that the Housing Act 2004 required local authorities to include this group in their assessments. She stated that Winchester had the largest number of unauthorised settlements in Hampshire and the City Council should consider the need for further designated pitches.

The Director of Human Resources confirmed that the seventh priority listed in Paragraph 1.4 of the Report (to develop further the Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Process), was wide enough to allow consideration of the issues raised by Councillor Sutton.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the eight priority areas identified in Paragraph 1.4 of the Report be approved and the recommended approach and the proposed work programme as set out in the Report be adopted.

14. **WINCHESTER TOWN OPEN SPACE PROJECTS**
(Report CAB1384 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Beveridge addressed Cabinet regarding this item and his comments are summarised below:

- He believed that a number of the difficulties experienced in agreeing the funding for the Outdoor Sports Centre at Bar End could have been avoided if the Winchester Town Forum had been consulted earlier. He suggested that any future open space projects aim to involve the relevant body, whether Town Forum or parish council, as soon as possible;

- He queried why it was not considered appropriate to request uncommitted sports funds from parishes, particular those in the north of the District who had not already contributed to the facilities in Swanmore;
- He believed that Paragraph 2.5 of the Report did not sufficiently clarify what would happen if other sport related projects came forward for the Town.

The Director of Development stated that parishes were only being asked to make contributions on a voluntary basis, because to make it mandatory would require the Council to demonstrate what proportion of the new facility would relate to individual parishes.

With regard to Paragraph 2.5, the Director advised that that any projects forthcoming would be tested to ascertain whether they would otherwise qualify for open space funding, if such funding had been available. Cabinet agreed that the wording of the paragraph was sufficiently clear on this point.

The Chairman agreed to consider the point made about future consultation with the Town Forum on the use of open space funds.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

1. That the approach previously agreed to fund Winchester Town Open Space projects, and the Outdoor Sports Centre proposal in the Town area in particular, be endorsed.
2. That the approach taken to the funding of any future sports projects in the Winchester Town area and to the matter of the General Fund support for any shortfall in the Open Space Fund and subsequent loss of interest be noted.

15. **SCRUTINY REVIEW – BUSINESS PLAN POST IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW**
(Report CAB1390 refers)

Councillor Allgood suggested that that the Report recommendations be amended slightly, to indicate that Cabinet would ask the Corporate Management Team and Portfolio Holders to consider the changes proposed in Recommendations 3 to 8. This was agreed.

The Chief Executive commented that, in practice, it would be Heads of Division who would amend Business Plans, not Directors. However, the City Secretary and Solicitor advised that the current style of the Scheme of Delegation to Officers gave delegation to Directors, although Directors would involve the appropriate Heads of Division. The style of the Scheme of Delegation would be reviewed in the light of the outcome of the current proposals for organisational development.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RECOMMENDED:

1. THAT THE FOLLOWING CHANGES BE AGREED TO PART 3 (RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUNCTIONS) OF THE CONSTITUTION:

A) IN SECTION 3.2 (SCHEME OF DELEGATION TO PORTFOLIO HOLDERS), UNDER 'EACH PORTFOLIO HOLDER', ADD AS A NEW POINT 2

“TO APPROVE RELEVANT BUSINESS PLANS DESIGNED TO DELIVER THE COUNCIL’S POLICY FRAMEWORK WITHIN THE AGREED BUDGET.”

AND RENUMBER FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS;

B) IN SECTION 6 (THE SCHEME OF DELEGATION TO OFFICERS), UNDER 'B' – MATTERS DELEGATED TO EACH DIRECTOR AS FAR AS THEY RELATE TO THEIR FUNCTIONS – ADD AS A NEW POINT

“SUBJECT TO DISCUSSION WITH THE RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDER, TO AGREE CHANGES TO APPROVED BUSINESS PLANS, PROVIDED THAT THE AMENDED BUSINESS PLAN WILL CONTINUE TO DELIVER THE COUNCIL’S POLICY FRAMEWORK WITHIN THE AGREED BUDGET.”

RESOLVED:

1. That an 'executive summary' of Business Plans be produced for consideration by Scrutiny Panels, with Panels required to identify a small number of key issues that they will be monitoring over the coming year.

2. That the suggestions of the Principal Scrutiny Committee be noted, and the Corporate Management Team and Portfolio Holders be requested to consider changes to the business planning process and the format of Business Plans for 2007/08 onwards, including the following ideas:

- a) Business Plans to take a longer term view, looking at planning work over a three to five year timescale, ideally linked to longer term funding commitments;
- b) Business Plan documents to be kept as sharp and smart as possible;
- c) Personal objectives, particularly for senior staff, to be clearly linked to Business Plan objectives;
- d) The business planning process to be developed to help identify and link cross cutting issues and ensure that they are included in all business plans in a consistent manner – possibly through a senior management 'away day' or topic discussion groups involving all relevant Divisions;
- e) The alignment between the various strategies and plans produced within the authority to be reviewed on an annual basis so as to remove any duplication, wherever possible;
- f) A 'business innovation award', available to all staff, be introduced that will encourage creativity and support a culture of success.

16. **EFFICIENCY UPDATE**
(Report CAB1387 refers)

Councillor Allgood advised that Principal Scrutiny Committee had commented that there were more cashable than non-cashable savings. Although the Gershon target would be met this year, he indicated that further work would be undertaken to ensure that all non-cashable savings were identified in the Reports for 2007/08.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the current position be noted.

17. **EXTRACT FROM MINUTES OF PRINCIPAL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE HELD 4 DECEMBER 2006**
(Report CAB1393 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the recommendations contained in the extracts from the minutes of Principal Scrutiny Committee held 4 December 2006 be noted.

18. **MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENT SCRUTINY PANEL**
(as contained in the Council Minute Book, 10 January 2007, pages 471 to 474)

Councillor Allgood reported that the Panel's comments on the budget process would be taken in account in the Report to the next Cabinet meeting.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Environment Scrutiny Panel held 27 November 2006 be received and the recommendations contained therein be noted.

19. **MINUTES OF THE LOCAL ECONOMY SCRUTINY PANEL**
(as contained in the Council Minute Book, 10 January 2007, pages 475 to 477)

Councillor Allgood reported that the Panel's comments on the budget process would be taken in account in the Report to the next Cabinet meeting.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Local Economy Scrutiny Panel held 28 November 2006 be received and the recommendations contained therein be noted.

20. **MINUTES OF THE SOCIAL ISSUES SCRUTINY PANEL**
(as contained in the Council Minute Book, 10 January 2007, pages 498 to 502)

Councillor Allgood reported that the Panel's comments on the budget process would be taken in account in the Report to the next Cabinet meeting.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Social Issues Scrutiny Panel held 5 December 2006 be received and the recommendations contained therein be noted.

21. **MINUTES OF THE RESOURCES SCRUTINY PANEL**
(as contained in the Council Minute Book, 10 January 2007, pages 503 to 506)

Councillor Allgood reported that the Panel's comments on the budget process would be taken in account in the Report to the next Cabinet meeting.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Resources Scrutiny Panel held 6 December 2006 be received and the recommendations contained therein be noted.

22. **MINUTES OF THE CABINET (LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK) COMMITTEE**
(Report CAB1386 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Cabinet (Local Development Framework) Committee held 6 December 2006 (as attached as Appendix A to these minutes) be received.

23. **FUTURE ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION**

RESOLVED:

That the list of future items for consideration, as set out in the Forward Plan for January 2007, be noted.

24. **EXEMPT BUSINESS**

RESOLVED:

1. That in all the circumstances, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

2. That the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following items of business because it is likely that, if members of the public were present, there would be disclosure to them of 'exempt information' as defined by Section 100I and Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972.

<u>Minute Number</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Description of Exempt Information</u>
##	Exempt Minutes of the Previous Meeting) Information relating to the financial or business affairs of
##	Silver Hill Development Agreement Terms) any particular person (including the authority holding that
##	EDRMS – Appointment of Preferred Supplier) information). (Para 3 Schedule 12A refers)

25. **EXEMPT MINUTES**

RESOLVED:

That the exempt minutes of the previous meeting, held on 13 December 2006, be approved and adopted.

26. **SILVER HILL DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TERMS**
(Report CAB1391 refers)

Cabinet considered the above Report which set out proposals for agreement by Cabinet regarding a variation in the Silver Hill development agreement terms (detail in exempt minute).

27. **ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EDRMS) – APPOINTMENT OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER**
(Report CAB1379 refers)

The Director of Finance declared a personal and prejudicial interest in respect of this item and left the room during its consideration.

Cabinet considered the above Report which proposed the appointment of a preferred supplier for an EDRMS (detail in exempt minute).

The meeting commenced at 10.00am and concluded at 12.50pm