CABINET

20 June 2007

PERFORMANCE REPORT 2006/07 (PERFORMANCE PLAN PART 2)

REPORT OF CORPORATE DIRECTOR (POLICY)

Contact Officer: Jacky Adams Tel No: 01962 848 356

#### **RECENT REFERENCES:**

CAB 1220 - Corporate Business Plan 2006/07 (Cabinet 22 March 2006)

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

Under the Local Government Act 1999, the Council is required to produce an annual Best Value Performance Plan which sets targets for future achievement and reports on progress made over the previous financial year. This Plan must contain certain information (as set out in ODPM Circular 05/2006) and must be published by 30 June. Under the Council's Constitution, the Performance Plan must be approved by full Council.

To make the information more timely and relevant to the Council's programme of work, the Performance Plan is produced in two sections. The first of these – entitled the Corporate Strategy Implementation Plan 2012 – was considered by Cabinet and agreed by Council in April 2007.

The second section, the Performance Report for 2006/07, is attached. This includes detailed information on achievements against national Best Value Performance Indicators and targets set for future years. The performance indicators are still undergoing some final checks and may need to be amended before the report is agreed by Council.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- That it be recommended to Council that the Performance Report (Performance Plan Part 2) 2006/07 be approved.
- That the Chief Executive be authorised to complete and refine the text of the document, in consultation with the Leader, for recommendation to Council.

#### Relevance to Corporate Strategy

The Performance Plan as a whole sets out targets for performance over the coming years and progress made towards these aims over the pervious year. The Annual Report focuses on progress made during the previous financial year.

2 CAB 1283

#### **Resource Implications**

The Annual Report sets out the progress achieved over the last financial year. The budget for that year was designed to allow business targets to be met.

#### **Background Documents**

Information on performance against national performance indicators held in the Council's performance management system.

Audit Commission database of national performance indicators.

#### **APPENDICES**:

Draft Performance Report 2006/07 (Performance Plan Part 2)

# **Winchester City Council**

# Performance Report 2006/07

PERFORMANCE PLAN
Part 2

# INTRODUCTION

n this Performance Report we look back over our year's performance and assess our progress against key performance targets.

The Council's priorities, aims and targets for 2006/07 were set out in its 2006 Corporate Business Plan.

This Annual Report looks at our progress against those targets and sets out the Council's aims for further improvement in coming years.

Many of the Council's key activities have nationally set Performance Indicators - measures against which we see how well we are performing. The national Performance Indicators are calculated on the same basis as other local authorities so that overall comparisons can be made - these comparisons are detailed in this Annual Report.

Simon Eden

**Chief Executive** 

# REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE 2006/07

est Value was introduced in 1999 and, amongst other things, required councils to report annually on its performance. The Council has established targets each year for a set of national Performance Indicators specified by the Government. This set of indicators reflects a broad range of local services. In 2006, the Council was required to collect information on residents' satisfaction with key services and the Council as a whole. The same information is collected by all local authorities once every three years. Key results from this survey are included in the tables below.

# ACHIEVING OUR CORPORATE AIMS AND TARGETS

The Council's performance indicators may be viewed in two parts – the first relating to 'Better Services' – focusing on the services we provide to the local community - and the second on 'Working Better' – focusing on how we work as an authority. Each of these is looked at in turn.

#### **Better Services**

In 2006/07, the Council identified three key outcomes it wished to work towards for the local community:

- Homes & jobs;
- Safer and more inclusive communities:
- Safeguarding our high quality environment for the future.

The following tables show how well be have performed against national performance indicators that cover these areas. Separate tables are included looking at:

- Homelessness & Private Sector Housing
- Council Housing
- Benefits
- Community Safety
- Culture and Heritage
- Planning
- Litter and Waste

### Performance Report 2006/07 - Homelessness & Private Sector Housing

вуы	Description	2005/06 Value	All DCs - BQ 2005	All DCs - Average 2005	All DCs - TQ 2005	2000 Value		2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	Status	Comments
BV64	No of private sector vacant dwellings that are returned into occupation or demolished	o	4	28	38	12	8	8	8	8	<b>Ø</b>	
BV164	Equal Access to Social Housing	Yes	No fi	igures sup	plied	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<b>Ø</b>	
BV183a	Length of stay in temporary accommodation (B&B)	.00	4.92	3.32	1.12	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	<b>②</b>	
BV183b	Length of stay in temporary accommodation (Hostel)	39.00	18.48	11.77	.00	31.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	<b>Ø</b>	
BV202	Number of people sleeping rough	5	4	2	0	5	10	10	10	10	<b>Ø</b>	
BV203	Change in number of families in temporary accommodation	-17.86%	22.22%	8.31%	-17.87%	17.39%					?	
BV213	Preventing Homelessness - number of households where homelessness prevented	6	1	18	5	4	Targets not set				?	2005/06 average figures as published by Audit Commission

BVPI	Description	2005/06 Value	All DCs - BQ 2005	All DCs - Average 2005	All DCs - TQ 2005	2006 Value	6/07 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	Status	Comments
BV214	Housing Advice Service - % of repeat homelessness within 2 years	6.67%	4.26%	3.17%	.00%	.00%		Targets	not set	?	

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Council Housing

BVPI	Description	2005/06 Value	All DCs - BQ 2005	All DCs - Average 2005	All DCs - TQ 2005	2000 Value		2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	Status	Comments
BV63	Energy Efficiency of Housing Stock	65	64		69		65	65	65	65	<b>Ø</b>	2006/07 value being reassessed and will be reported direct to Cabinet.
BV66a	Rent Collection and Arrears Recovery	99.69%	97.40%	97.32%	98.84%	98.68%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	_	
BV66b	Rent collection and arrears recovery	1.61%	7.35%	6.01%	3.39%	1.76%	1.60%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%	<b>②</b>	
BV66c	Rent Collection and Arrears Recovery: Notices Seeking Possession.	61.24%	35.81%	26.32%	13.55%	43.43%	60.00%	55.00%	55.00%	55.00%	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV66d	Rent Collection and Arrears Recovery: evictions	0.12	.44%	.50%	.15%	.18%	.10%	.10%	.05%	.05%	•	
BV184a	Non-decent local authority dwellings (%)	14.5%	38.5%	26.4%	12.0%	9.0%	9.0%	4.0%	0.5%	0.0%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV184b	Non-decent local authority dwellings (change)	-17.1%	1.6%	23.1%	28.9%	-37.9%	-38.0%	-55.6%	-87.5%	-100.0%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV212	Average time taken to re-let local authority housing.	97	49	41	27	82	90	25	25	25	<b>Ø</b>	

#### Performance Report 2006/07 – Council Housing: Tenants' Satisfaction

Figures throughout show percentage of tenants who were very or fairly satisfied

			2003	3/04		2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		
BVPI	Description	Value	RATTAM	All DCs Average	All DCs Top Quartile	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV74a	Tenant Satisfaction with Landlord (All Tenants)	86.01%	77.00%	79.86%	85.00%	84.00%	90.00%			Top quartile		
BV74b	Tenant Satisfaction with Landlord (Black and Minority Ethnic Tenants)	79.31%	64.00%	73.59%	86.00%	86.00%*	85.00%			Top quartile		Ambitious targets were set for 2006/07.
BV74c	Tenant Satisfaction with Landlord (Non-Ethnic Minority tenants)	86.04%	76.25%	79.99%	85.00%	85.00%	90.00%	No survey	No survey	Top quartile		While results were below these targets, they are still in line with previous best
BV75a	Tenant Satisfaction with Participation (All)	70.90%	62.00%	65.39%	70.00%	69.00%	75.00%	dua	due	Top quartile		quartile performance.  Targets for 2009/10
BV75b	Tenant Satisfaction with Participation (Black and Minority Ethnic Minority Tenants)	73.90%	50.00%	65.92%	75.75%	50.00%*	75.00%			Top quartile		will be reviewed once national comparative data is available.
BV75c	Tenant Satisfaction with Participation (Non-Ethnic Minority Tenants)	70.70%	62.00%	65.91%	70.00%	70.00%	75.00%			Top quartile	4	

<sup>\*</sup> These figures have a high level of uncertainty due to a very low sample size.

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Benefits

DVD:	Decementary	2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Ctatus	O a ma ma a sa 4 a
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV76a	Housing Benefits Security number of claimants visited per 1,000 caseload	347.00				479.00	350.00	350.00	350.00	350.00	<b>Ø</b>	
BV76b	Housing Benefits Security number of fraud investigators employed per 1,000 case load	.38		gures publ	ished	.40	.40	0.40	.40	.40	<b>Ø</b>	
BV76c	Housing Benefits Security number of fraud investigations per 1,000 caseload	57.48		gures publ	isileu	96.30	60.00	97.00	97.50	98.00	<b>Ø</b>	
BV76d	Housing Benefits Security number of prosecutions & sanctions per 1,000 caseload	5.71				5.37	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	<b>Ø</b>	
BV78a	Speed of processing - new HB/CTB claims (Days)	25.16	37.8	33.5	25.5	17.77	25.0	17.5	17.0	16.5	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV78b	Speed of processing - changes of circumstances for HB/CTB claims (Days)	16.28	16.7	13.9	8.5	7.83	12.0	7.5	7.5	7.5	<b>Ø</b>	

D) (D)		2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	0.1	
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV79a	Accuracy of processing - HB/CTB claims	96.80%	96.80%	97.56%	99.00%	98.60%	98.00%	99.00%	99.00%	99.00%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV79b(i)	Percentage of Recoverable Overpayments Recovered (HB) that are recovered during period	77.51%	59.37%	69.48%	79.46%	69.13%	78.00%	72.00%	74.00%	76.00%	•	
BV79b(ii)	HB overpayments recovered as % of the total amount of HB overpayment debt outstanding	24.91%	28.35%	35.10%	41.22%	23.00%	26.00%	24.00%	26.00%	28.00%	•	
BV79b(iii)	Percentage of Recoverable Over payments Recovered (HB)	6.31%	No fi	gures publ	ished	2.38%	6.00%	2.00%	1.50%	1.50%	•	

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Benefits: Claimants' Satisfaction

			2003	3/04		2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		
BVPI	Description	Value	Bottom	All DCS	All DCs Top Quartile	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV80	Percentage claimants surveyed who were very or fairly satisfied with the service they receive from the local authority benefits office	78.70%	77.00%	79.98%	84.00%	85.00%	80.00%			87.00%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV80a	Overall, I am satisfied with the ways in which I can contact the local authority benefits office	82.00%	76.00%	79.85%	84.00%	84.00%	84.00%			86.00%	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV80b	Overall, I am satisfied with the experience of visiting the local authority benefits office	81.00%	78.00%	81.38%	86.00%	86.00%	83.00%	No survey due	No survey due	88.00%	<b>&gt;</b>	Figures shows percentage of claimants surveyed who agreed or
BV80c	Overall, I am satisfied with the telephone service provided by my local authority benefit office	75.40%	67.00%	72.56%	80.00%	82.00%	78.00%			84.00%	٥	strongly agreed with the statement shown in the 'Description' column.
BV80d	Overall, I am satisfied with the service provided by staff in my local authority benefits office	80.80%	79.00%	82.37%	86.00%	86.00%	83.00%			88.00%	<b>Ø</b>	

			200	3/04		2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		
BVPI	Description	Value	- BQ	All DCs Average 2003/04	- TQ	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV80e	Overall, I am satisfied with the Housing/Council Tax benefits claim form	56.30%	60.00%	63.75%	67.50%	57.00%	60.00%	No survey	No survey	60.00%	<b>Ø</b>	Figures shows percentage of claimants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed with the statement shown in the 'Description' column.
BV80f	Percentage claimants surveyed who were very or fairly satisfied with the amount of time it took to tell them whether their claim for Housing / Council Tax benefit was successful or not	69.30%	67.00%	5 71.93%	77.00%	78.00%	63.00%	due	due	80.00%	<b>Ø</b>	

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Community Safety

D)/DI	Decement	2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	200	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Ctatus	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV126	Domestic burglaries per 1,000 households	5.4	9.6	8.2	5.7	5.4	'					
BV127a	Violent Crime per 1,000 Population	12.9	18.6	15.6	11.1	15.0		Targets no	ot supplied			
BV127b	Robberies per 1,000 Population	.2	.7	.6	.2	.2	.2					
BV128	Vehicle crimes per 1,000 population	5.7	10.5	9.2	6.4	6.7						
BV174	Racial Incidents Recorded	4.50	No fiç	gures publ	ished	7.11	No targets set as outside WCC control					
BV175	Racial incidents resulting in further action	100.00%	100.00%	93.29%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	9	
BV225	Actions Against Domestic Violence	54.5%				45.5%	63.6%	72.7%	72.7%	72.7%	•	
BV226a	Advice & Guidance Services - total	£151485				£151135						
BV226b	Advice & Guidance Services - CLS Quality Mark	89.28%	No figures published			89.49%	89.28%	89.28%	89.28%	89.28%	•	
BV226c	Advice & Guidance Services: direct provision	£664239				£663933	£680845	£680531	£697544	£714983	9	

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Culture and Heritage

D)/DI		2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	01-1	0
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV170a	Visits to and Use of museums & galleries - All Visits	1436	77	636	861	1736	1716	1716	1716	1716	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV170b	Visits to and use of Museums & galleries - Visits in Person	958	53	364	460	971	935	952	974	1000	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV170c	Visits to and Use of Museums - School Groups	6653	260	3039	3302	7908	6400	6500	6600	6700		
BV219a	Preserving the Special Character of Conservation Areas	37	No fig	gures publ	ished	37	37	37	37	37		
BV219b	Preserving the Special Character of Conservation Areas: Character Appraisals	16.20%	.00%	19.87%	26.00%	8.11%	10.81%	10.81%	8.11%	8.11%	•	
BV219c	Preserving the Special Character of Conservation Areas: Management Proposals	2.70%	.00%	8.04%	5.50%	2.70%	8.11%	8.11%	8.11%	8.11%		

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Culture and Heritage: Satisfaction

		2003/04		I	2006/07			2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		
BVPI	Description		BOTTOM	All DCS	All DCs Top Quartile	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV119a	Percentage residents satisfied with sports/leisure facilities	60.00%	55.00%	59.69%	65.00%	63.00%	60.00%			Top quartile	<b>②</b>	
BV119b	Percentage residents satisfied with libraries	67.00%	71.00%	74.67%	78.00%	67.00%	67.00%			Top quartile	<b>Ø</b>	
BV119c	Percentage residents satisfied with museums and galleries	52.00%	26.00%	39.49%	50.00%	53.00%	52.00%	No survey due	No survey due	Top quartile	9	
BV119d	Percentage residents satisfied with theatres and concert halls	57.00%	26.00%	39.30%	52.00%	54.00%	57.00%			Top quartile	<u> </u>	Performance remains in top quartile
BV119e	Percentage residents satisfied with parks and open spaces	81.00%	68.00%	73.32%	78.00%	83.00%	81.00%			Top quartile	<b>Ø</b>	

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Planning

BVPI	Description	2005/06 Value	All DCs - BQ 2005	Average	All DCs - TQ 2005	2006 Value		2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	Status	Comments
BV106	New homes built on previously developed land	90.00%		2003	89.67%	92.53%	_				   _	
BV109a	Major applications determined in 13 weeks	47.69%	58.04%	65.49%	74.75%	60.78%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV109b	Minor applications determined in 8 weeks	65.99%	68.60%	73.73%	80.39%	54.74%	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%		
BV109c	Planning Applications: 'Other' applications	86.96%	83.84%	86.70%	91.61%	75.99%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%		
BV200a	Plan-making: Local Development Scheme submitted?	Yes		'		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<b>Ø</b>	
BV200b	Plan-making: Milestones Met?	Yes	No fi	gures sup	plied	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<b>Ø</b>	
BV200c	Plan-making: Monitoring Report	Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<b>Ø</b>	
BV204	Planning appeals allowed	39.6%	36.1%	30.6%	25.0%	17.2%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV205	Quality of Planning Service checklist	94.0%	83.3%	88.9%	94.4%	94.0%	97.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	_	

### Performance Report 2006/07 - Development Planning: Satisfaction

BVPI	Description	2003/04 Value	All DCs - BQ 2003	All DCs - Average 2003	All DCs - TQ 2003	2006/ Value	07 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	Status	Comments
BV111	% of applicants satisfied with the Planning service received	67%	70%	75.11%	81%	69%	67%			To be confirmed	<b>⊘</b>	

# Performance Report 2006/07 - Litter and Waste

		2005/06	AII DC3 -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2000	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	24.4	
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV82a(i)	% of Household Waste Recycled	18.88%	14.79%	18.55%	21.72%	22.45%	25.57%	31.04%	32.13%	32.87%		Targets for 2006/07 assumed an earlier
	Tonnes of Household Waste Recycled	8106.00	5279.84	7361.52	9082.98	9456.00	11055.00	13416.00	13871.00	14365.00	•	start to the roll out of Alternate Bin Collection than
BV82b(i)	0/ of Household Wests	1.31%	2.68%	9.44%	14.67%	1.96%	7.46%	13.64%	13.84%	13.84%	•	occurred. Figures for recycling and
BV82b(ii)	Tonnes of household waste composted	549.00	933.96	3945.75	6048.82	815.90	2687.50	5895.00	5975.00	6050.00	•	composting in the phase 1 area are on track.
BV84a	Household waste collected per head, in kilos	386.9	442.7	410.3	381.0	378.0	385.0	380.0	375.0	375.0	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV84b	Household Waste Collection (% change in kilograms per head)	-3.20%	2.43%	24%	-3.29%	-2.30%	49%	-1.30%	-1.32%	.00%	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV86	Cost of household waste collection	£52.42	£52.88	£49.71	£40.28	£59.90	£63.00	£60.00	£60.00	£58.00	<b>②</b>	
BV91a	Kerbside Collection of Recyclables (one recyclable)	100.0%	94.0%	94.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	<b>&gt;</b>	
BV91b	Kerbside collection of recyclables (two recyclables)	100.0%	92.3%	92.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	<b>Ø</b>	

B\/DI	Description	2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Ctotus	Comments
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV166a	Environmental Health Checklist	95.0%	80.0%	87.2%	98.7%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV199a	Local street and environmental cleanliness - Litter and Detritus	2.2%	19.0%	13.7%	8.0%	8.0%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	•	
BV199b	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Graffiti	0%	4%	3%	0%	1%	5%	5%	5%	5%	<b>②</b>	
BV199c	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Fly- posting levels	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	5%	5%	5%	٥	
BV199d	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Fly- tipping	2	No fiç	gures publ	ished	3	2	2	2	2	•	
BV216a	Identifying Contaminated Land	2295	No fiç	gures publ	ished	2264	2295					
BV216b	Information on contaminated land	2%	1%	9%	8%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV217	Pollution Control Improvements Completed On-time	93%	85%	86%	100%	93%	90%	90%	90%	90%	<b>Ø</b>	2006/07 value provisional and may be subject to change.

BVPI	Description	2005/06	All DCs -	Average	All DCs - TQ 2005	2006 Value		2007/08	2008/09		Status	Comments
		value	24 2000	2005		value	Target	Target	Target	Target		
	Abandoned vehicles - % investigated within 24 hrs	1.60%	71.58%	80.12%	96.12%	32.00%	3.00%	37.00%	40.00%	43.00%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV218b	Abandoned Vehicles - % removed within 24 hours of required time	2.90%	56.52%	70.67%	93.95%	46.00%	3.00%	40.00%	42.00%	45.00%	<b>Ø</b>	

### Performance Report 2006/07 - Litter and Waste: Residents' Satisfaction

	Description	2003/04	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10		Comments
BVPI		Value	BQ 2006	Average 2006	TQ 2006	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV89	Satisfaction with cleanliness of streets	73%	64.75%	69.33%	74%	73%	73%			Top quartile	<b>②</b>	
BV90a	Satisfaction with household waste collection	93.00%	73.00%	78.93%	86.00%	91.00%	93.00%			Top quartile	<u> </u>	
BV90b	Satisfaction with waste recycling	75.00%	67.75%	71.82%	76.00%	79.00%	75.50%			Top quartile	<b>②</b>	

#### **Working Better**

In 2006/07, the Council identified three key outcomes it wished to work towards in managing its own services:

- Making our Working Practices fit for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century
- Managing the Council Effectively
- Creating an Efficient, Effective Working Environment

The following tables show how well be have performed against national performance indicators that cover these areas. Separate tables are included looking at:

- Public Satisfaction with the City Council
- · Efficient Working
- Equalities

#### **Contracts**

As part of the way in which the Council manages its affairs, contracts are let for the provision of Council services. These can sometimes involve the transfer of staff to the company taking over the services. The Council has not let any contracts during 2006/07 which involved the transfer of staff.

#### Performance Report 2006/07 – Public Satisfaction with the City Council

BVPI	Description	2003/04 All DCs		All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	6/07	2007/08	2007/08 2008/09		Ctatus	Comments
BVFI		Value	BQ 2006	2006	TQ 2006	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV3	Overall satisfaction with the authority	61%	50%	54.62%	60%	57%	61%		vey due	Top quartile	•	
BV4	Satisfaction with complaint handling	40%	32%	34.83%	38%	33%	37%	•		Top quartile		

### Performance Report 2006/07 - Efficient Working

DVDI	Description	2005/06	All DC3 -		All DCs -	2006	5/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Ctatus	Commonto
BVPI		Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV8	% of invoices paid on time	93.67%	92.20%	93.70%	97.30%	94.93%	97.00%	95.50%	96.50%	96.50%	<b>A</b>	
BV9	% of Council Tax collected	98.30%	97.40%	97.84%	98.52%	98.50%	98.35%	21.00%	98.56%	98.57%	<b>②</b>	
BV10	Percentage of Non- domestic Rates Collected	99.10%	98.40%	98.69%	99.30%	99.17%	99.15%	25.00%	99.23%	99.26%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV12	Working Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence	10.24	10.92	9.64	8.28	9.00	9.50	8.00	8.00	8.00	٥	
BV14	Percentage of Early Retirements	.40%	.83%	.61%	.00%	.40%	.19%	.60%	.40%	.40%	•	
BV15	Percentage of III- health Retirements	.20%	.42%	.28%	.00%	.00%	.19%	.00%	.00%	.00%	<b>②</b>	

### **Performance Plan 2007 - Corporate Health: Equalities**

D\/DI	Description	2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	All DCs -	2006	6/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	Ctatus	Community
BVPI	Description	Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005	TQ 2005	Value	Target	Target	Target	Target	Status	Comments
BV2a	Equality Standard for Local Government	1	No fig	gures publ	ished	1	1	1	2	3	<b>9</b>	
BV2b	Duty to Promote Race Equality - checklist score	63.2%	42%	56.95%	73%	68.5%	84%	84%	100%	100%		
BV11a	Top 5% of Earners: Women	33.00%	18.79%	25.15%	31.25%	36.60%	32.00%	32.00%	32.00%	32.00%	<b>②</b>	
BV11b	Top 5% of Earners: Ethnic Minorities	.00%	.00%	1.88%	3.37%	.00%	4.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	•	
BV11c	Top 5% of Earners: with a disability	.00%	.00%	3.28%	5.91%	.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%		
BV16a	Percentage of Employees with a Disability	2.00%	2.13%	3.69%	4.37%	1.39%	2.60%	2.60%	2.60%	3.00%		
BV16b	Percentage of Economically Active People who have a Disability	9.60%	No fi	gures publ	ished	9.60%	9.60%	9.60%	9.60%	9.60%	<b>Ø</b>	
BV17a	Ethnic Minority representation in the workforce - employees	.8%	.8%	2.1%	2.7%	1.4%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%		
BV17b	Ethnic Minority representation in the workforce - local population	2.2%	No fi	gures publ	ished	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	<b>Ø</b>	

BVDI	Description	2005/06	All DCs -	All DCs -	Prage TQ 2005	2006	6/07	2007/08	2007/08 2008/09		Status	Comments
BVPI		Value	BQ 2005	Average 2005		Value	Target	Target	Target	Target		Comments
	Buildings Accessible to People with a Disability	38.46%	No fig	gures publ	ished	46.15%	46.15%	53.85%	54.54%	55.00%	<b>Ø</b>	