

**CABINET****2 December 2015****Attendance:**

Councillor Godfrey -	<i>Leader (Chairman) (P)</i>
Councillor Weston -	<i>Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Service Delivery (P)</i>
Councillor Read -	<i>Portfolio Holder for Built Environment (P)</i>
Councillor Byrnes -	<i>Portfolio Holder for Local Economy (P)</i>
Councillor Horrill -	<i>Portfolio Holder for Housing Services (P)</i>
Councillor Miller -	<i>Portfolio Holder for Estates (P)</i>
Councillor Pearson -	<i>Portfolio Holder for Environment, Health &amp; Wellbeing (P)</i>

**Others in attendance who addressed the meeting:**

Councillors Burns, Gottlieb, Laming, Phillips, Power, Tait, Thompson and Weir  
Mr D Chafe (TACT)

**Others in attendance who did not address the meeting:**

Councillors J Berry, Simon Cook, Evans, Scott, Twelftree and Warwick  
Mr D Light (TACT)

**1. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS**

Councillor Godfrey declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in respect of agenda items due to his role as a County Council employee. However, as there was no material conflict of interest, he remained in the room, spoke and voted under the dispensation granted on behalf of the Standards Committee to participate and vote in all matters which might have a County Council involvement.

Councillor Gottlieb declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of agenda items relating to Silver Hill as a member of the Winchester Deserves Better campaign group. He remained in the room and addressed Cabinet.

**2. MEMBERSHIP OF CABINET COMMITTEES ETC**

Cabinet noted that the Council were entitled to appoint three nominated trustees to St John's Winchester Charity, currently Councillor Tait together with former Councillors Patrick Davies and Harry Verney (the latter appointed until November 2015). The Charity was reviewing their trustee requirements and had requested that the Council not reappoint to this one vacancy at this time to enable the Charity to select someone with direct housing experience.

The Chairman welcomed Clive Cook and Martin Lowry (St John's Winchester Charity) to the meeting who provided a brief outline of the work of the Charity and its future intentions, as summarised below.

Clive Cook stated that the Charity had a long history of working with the Council and that it offered social housing for the elderly, with 85 units in total across Winchester within the alms houses and two care homes. It was recognised that the accommodation offered no longer adequately met all the requirements of the elderly and a County Council report had been commissioned to examine the existing accommodation and benchmark against new build schemes. The Charity were intending to build new accommodation and adapt existing units as far as possible and would be seeking future funding and partners to achieve this. Martin Lowry explained that the challenges facing the Charity would require Board Members with a diverse range of skills. At the moment, there were 11 trustees, with three from the Council and their contributions had been welcomed over the years. However, with the changes outlined above, it was suggested the Council's nominee entitlement be reduced to one.

The Chairman thanked both for their contributions and acknowledged the work of the Charity over the years. Councillor Horrill also endorsed their request to reduce the number of Council nominees.

RESOLVED:

That the long term intentions of St John's Winchester Charity be noted and no replacement nominated trustee be proposed following the end of term of office of former Councillor Harry Verney.

### 3. **MINUTES**

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the previous meeting held on 21 October 2015, less exempt minutes, be approved and adopted.

### 4. **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Tait addressed Cabinet and in summary raised a number of concerns regarding the general upkeep and appearance of some areas of the Winchester Town centre. These related to the following:

- The proliferation of commercial waste bins in Hammonds Passage;
- A long standing area of temporary tarmac repair remaining in The Square following work undertaken by SSE;
- The current access difficulties along Market Lane due to the situation of a mobile coffee van together with associated tables and chairs.

Councillor Pearson stated that he was aware of all these issues and had raised them with the relevant Council departments. The Chairman suggested

that Councillor Tait submit a question to Council on 6 January 2016 to enable a detailed response to be provided.

5. **LEADER AND PORTFOLIO HOLDER ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Leader welcomed to the meeting two members of the Standards Committee (Richard Lindley and John Chapman) who were attending to monitor proceedings.

The Leader announced that there had been 22 expressions of interest in the Station Approach Design Brief from architect firms across the UK and Europe. A shortlist of five firms (which included one local firm) had been selected by the Panel using the agreed criteria. Once all firms had confirmed, the shortlist would be published. He also intended that a Report be submitted to January Cabinet updating Members on the shortlisting process.

In connection with Christmas events, the Leader also announced that the Coca Cola truck would be visiting Winchester on 17 December. In addition, the Woolly Hat Fair, organised by the Theatre Royal, was underway which involved 24 doors opening (one each day throughout December) to reveal an art installation or event.

Councillor Pearson welcomed the success of the Great Waste Challenge which was ongoing. He announced that as Portfolio Holder he would be launching a District-wide walking campaign as a new initiative for 2016/17.

6. **DRAFT PORTFOLIO PLANS 2016/17**

(Report CAB2741 refers)

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Power addressed Cabinet and in summary, considered that the Plans should be shorter and sharper in content with clear targets. She criticised the consultation process on the Plans and the length of time taken to take comments on board. She also believed that the monitoring arrangements for the Plans were overly complicated and there should be just one method.

The Chairman agreed that the Plans should continue to become shorter and more focussed and only include proposed changes. However, he believed consultation was adequate and the Plans would be input into the Council's Covalent monitoring system.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RECOMMENDED:**

**THAT FOLLOWING THE CONSULTATION, A REPORT BE MADE DIRECT TO COUNCIL IN JANUARY 2016 TO ENABLE THE PORTFOLIO PLANS FOR 2016/17 TO BE CONSIDERED FOR ADOPTION.**

## RESOLVED:

1. That the draft Portfolio Plans for 2016/17 be approved as a basis for consultation with key stakeholders.
2. That delegated authority be given to the Head of Policy and Major Projects in consultation with the Leader of the Council to make any amendments prior to the Portfolio Plans being submitted to Council in January 2016 for adoption.

7. **GENERAL FUND BUDGET 2016/17 – CAPITAL AND REVENUE CONSIDERATIONS**

(Report CAB2739 refers)

Cabinet noted that the Report had not been notified for inclusion on the agenda within the statutory deadline as some of its detailed content had been delayed to take account of the Chancellor's Autumn Statement. An updated version of Appendix G had also been produced. The Chairman agreed to accept both items onto the agenda as matters requiring urgent consideration to enable the Report to be considered prior to The Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

The Chairman stated that one of the main impacts of the Government's announcements related to a review of the New Homes Bonus which it was estimated would reduce the Council's forecast receipts. He highlighted that the proposed budget assumed no increase in Council Tax or parking charges. The Council would be considering whether it would be possible to increase planning fees in due course and also to increase staffing for the Major Projects. The budget for 2016/17 would be balanced but he welcomed suggestions from all Members as to how to address the predicted deficit in future years. Finally, he commended Officers for the risk section of the Report, as contained in Appendix A.

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Power addressed Cabinet and in general welcomed the report and believed that the Council should have a 40 year plan for its assets. She raised the following questions:

- What was the Council's plan to address the predicted deficit in future years?
- When would further details be known about the Government's proposals in relation to Council's retaining 100% business rate receipts?
- Further explanation of the £22m City Council share in the Collection Fund Statement in Appendix G of the Report?

The Chairman responded that there remained a significant number of uncertainties relating to the medium to long term budget forecasts, including the Government's proposals in relation to business rates and the impact of devolution. The Chief Finance Officer explained the accounting treatment and presentation in relation to the £22m referred to and advised that this matter would be addressed in more detail in future reports to improve transparency

and understanding. She explained that whilst the £22m was the City Council's share in the Collection Fund, out of this the Council was required to pay a tariff of just over £18m to Government leaving less than £4m to support the Council's budget, which was shown in Appendix B.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RESOLVED:**

That the Report be adopted as the basis for budget consultation.

8. **SILVER HILL, WINCHESTER – SITUATION REPORT AND ASSESSMENT**  
(Report CAB2752 refers)

Cabinet noted that the Report had not been notified for inclusion on the agenda within the statutory deadline. The Chairman agreed to accept the item onto the agenda as a matter requiring urgent consideration to enable the Report to be considered prior to The Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

The Chairman stated that the Report summarised the latest position regarding the Silver Hill development and highlighted the significance of two imminent deadlines: the expiry of the 2009 Planning Permission in February 2016 and of the Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) on 19 March 2016. A meeting had been held with SW1 representatives the previous week and there remained a number of areas of concern and/or requiring further clarification. The Chairman expressed concern that the Development Agreement may not go unconditional in the near future. He would ask SW1 to provide a written response to key questions. A further Report would then be submitted to the next Cabinet meeting on 13 January 2016 with more detailed information and would consider the options open to the Council. The Chairman suggested that the second recommendation of the Report be amended to include the third sentence from Paragraph 4.1 of the Report. This was agreed as set out under the Resolutions below.

During discussion, Cabinet Members noted the concerns and requested that the Report to the next meeting include full details of the risks of each possible option, including the risks if a decision was taken to terminate the Agreement. In response to questions, the Corporate Director confirmed the developers were still in active discussions with Planning Officers regarding discharge of planning conditions and had submitted a Section 278 agreement to the County Council regarding highway works. Discharge of planning conditions was an ongoing process and none had been fully discharged at the current time. Further details on this could be provided to the next Cabinet meeting if required.

During public participation, Patrick Davies addressed Cabinet and in summary expressed concern about the uncertainty of the latest situation and the lack of clarity regarding what the Council wanted to achieve. In particular, would the developer and/or the Council favour the 2014 scheme over the 2009 scheme should the developer be able to pursue this (if it was successful in the Court of

Appeal)? With regard to the CPO he emphasised that this was the Council's responsibility and it was unclear how the necessary notices would be served prior to the expiration date.

The Chairman stated that the Council's intention remained as previously agreed, namely to achieve the regeneration of the Silver Hill area. Council had clearly supported the delivery of the 2009 scheme at the earliest opportunity and this had previously been expected to occur by the end of 2015. However, subsequent delays had raised significant concerns that this might not be possible within the timescales and that was why it was proposed to seek written confirmation from SW1 as to its intentions.

With regard to the CPO, the Head of Legal and Democratic Services advised that the process to be undertaken by the Council would depend upon the method of implementation chosen (there were two available – the making of a general vesting declaration, or service of notices to treat). Before any decision was taken to implement either method, the Council would need to ensure its liability was protected. With regard to the Court of Appeal hearing, the Head of Legal and Democratic Services stated that SW1 had received indications a hearing could be heard sometime between April and June 2016.

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillors Thompson, Burns, Gottlieb and Laming addressed Cabinet and their comments are summarised below.

Councillor Thompson expressed concerns about the delays, particularly as Members had been assured at the previous Cabinet meeting in October that the developers would be on site by December 2015. In addition, at the Council meeting in July 2015, it had been argued that the scheme would definitely go ahead and therefore the Council's right to terminate could be abandoned. She questioned whether the Council could trust the developer to fulfil its promises and requested that SW1 be asked to provide a firm deadline for the production of any revised plan for the site in respect of its intentions should it be successful in relation the forthcoming Court of Appeal hearing.

The Chairman concurred with Councillor Thompson's concerns regarding delays and the need for greater certainty from SW1 as to their intentions. He disagreed with Councillor Thompson's statement regarding the right to terminate and clarified that he had not considered it appropriate to seek this at the time of the July 2015 Council meeting.

Councillor Burns urged caution regarding any reliance by the Council on the outcome of the Court of Appeal hearing as she believed it was unlikely to be successful. She highlighted that she had raised the issue of terminating the agreement on numerous previous occasions and believed it was open to the Council to terminate without any penalties as it had fulfilled its obligations under the Development Agreement. She added that there were pre-conditions relating to the planning conditions which were mandatory and that the issue of the Works Commencement Date should be re-considered.

Councillor Gottlieb referred to the Works Commencement Date and asked the Council to recognise the necessary works had not occurred. The Chief

Operating Officer highlighted that this was a matter for exempt discussion (if considered necessary at this time) and all Councillors had received advice on this particular matter during the exempt session of the July meetings. Councillor Gottlieb then requested that the Leader write to him outside of the meeting to advise whether or not such works had taken place. The Leader responded that it was one of the matters to be considered at the next Cabinet meeting.

Councillor Laming also expressed concern and requested a full financial risk assessment of the project. He believed the Council should now terminate the Agreement to “focus minds” as under the termination process the developer had a defined amount of time to respond.

During further discussion, Cabinet Members shared the concerns expressed regarding the delays in the development and requested that the report to the January meeting seek to clarify the implications of the various options. One Member also asked for a full structural report on the condition of the Friarsgate car park. It was noted that this might not be possible within the timeframe allowed, but further details could be requested as to options for the car park.

Cabinet agreed that there was no requirement for any additional matters relating to this Report to be dealt with in exempt session.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That the Leader write to Silverhill Winchester No. 1 Limited (SW1) asking for confirmation of how it intends to progress the Silver Hill development in the light of the granting of permission to SW1 to appeal to the Court of Appeal, the fact that the 2009 scheme has not yet gone unconditional, and the need to implement the CPO by 19 March 2016.

2. That SW1’s response be reported back to Cabinet on 13 January 2016, in order that the Council can consider its position on the scheme accordingly. The Report will include full and detailed advice on the various options available (the main options set out in the Report, and others which might also be open to the Council), so that appropriate decisions in the light of these options can be taken.

9. **ST CLEMENT’S SURGERY, WINCHESTER – RESPONSE TO COUNCIL PETITION**

(Report CAB2749 refers)

Under the Council’s Constitution Access to Information Procedure Rules (Rule 15 General Exception), this is a key decision which was not included in the Forward Plan. The Chairman of The Overview and Scrutiny Committee had been informed.

The Chairman noted that the petitioner was unable to attend this meeting of Cabinet. He stated that it was still the intention that new surgery would be in place by 2017, but the uncertainties outlined above regarding Silver Hill raised further doubts and agreement with the Practice would be necessary. A further Report would be submitted to a future Cabinet and Council with a formal response to the petition once further information was available.

In response to questions, the Corporate Director advised that it was potentially possible, subject to further negotiations and investigations as to feasibility and resources, for the Council to build a new surgery even if Silver Hill did not proceed. However, it would be dependent on the outcome of negotiations with the Practice and the owners of the existing surgery.

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Thompson welcomed the proposal for a further Report and queried whether it was possible for the stated timetable of a new surgery by August 2017 to be provided. If no longer possible, she queried what was the alternative plan?

The Chairman stated that the timescales previously outlined were still possible.

Cabinet agreed that there was no requirement for any additional matters relating to this Report to be dealt with in exempt session.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RESOLVED:**

1. That the contents of the petition be noted.
2. That the provision of a new surgery be kept under review as part of progress reports on the Silver Hill scheme and a further report be brought to Cabinet prior to any further report to Council.

10. **NORTH WINCHESTER FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME**  
(Report CAB2751 refers)

The Assistant Director (Policy and Planning) advised that it was anticipated work on the scheme would commence by the summer of 2016. Cabinet welcomed the scheme as a means of protecting the buildings in the area from future flooding and commended the Officers for their work.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.



RESOLVED:

1. That a capital supplementary estimate is approved for £250,000 in 2016/17 for flood alleviation schemes, funded from Capital Receipts.
2. That further approvals will be sought under Financial Procedure Rule 6.4 to release the funding once projects are suitably developed and costed.

11. **REVIEW OF LOCAL COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION SCHEME**  
(Report CAB2740 refers)

The Deputy Head of Revenues advised that the predicted expenditure on Council Tax Reduction in 2016/17 would be reduced from that set out in Paragraph 5.3 of the Report due to the Government's recent withdrawal of the proposed changes to Tax Credits.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RECOMMENDED:**

**THAT COUNCIL ADOPTS THE LOCAL COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION SCHEME PROPOSED IN THIS REPORT AS FOLLOWS:**

**(A) BASE THE LOCAL COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION SCHEME FOR 2016/17 ON THE SCHEME ADOPTED FOR 2015/16 WITH AMENDMENTS TO REFLECT WIDER LEGISLATIVE CHANGE TO COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION AND OTHER RELATED LEGISLATION;**

**(B) INCREASE COMPONENTS IN THE CTR CALCULATION IN LINE WITH THE INCREASES WHICH DWP & DCLG PROVIDE FOR IN THE HOUSING BENEFIT REGULATIONS 2006 (AS AMENDED) AND THE COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION SCHEME (PRESCRIBED REQUIREMENTS) REGULATIONS 2012 (AS AMENDED) FOR 2016/17;**

**(C) INCREASE INCOME DISREGARDS FOR WORKING AGE CLAIMANTS FURTHER SO THAT SINGLE CLAIMANTS HAVE THE FIRST £35.00 OF EARNED INCOME DISREGARDED AND OTHERS HAVE THE FIRST £70.00 OF EARNED INCOME DISREGARDED.**

RESOLVED:

That a report be submitted direct to Council on 06 January 2016 containing the updated detailed local Council Tax Reduction Scheme for 2016/17 for approval.

12. **UPDATE ON CREATIVE ENTERPRISE CENTRE, STREET CARE DEPOT AND STORAGE PREMISES, WINCHESTER (LESS EXEMPT APPENDICES)**

(Report CAB2750 refers)

The Head of Estates provided some further detail on the proposals outlined in the Report.

The Chairman welcomed the report and the proposals to support the creative industries and thanked the Head of Estates for his work.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RECOMMENDED:**

**1. THAT AUTHORITY BE GIVEN UNDER FINANCIAL PROCEDURE RULE 6.4 TO INCUR THE CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FOR THE SCHEME OF UP TO £2.29M OF WHICH £1.45M WILL BE UNFINANCED.**

**2. THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH FINANCIAL PROCEDURE RULE 7.2 A SUPPLEMENTARY CAPITAL ESTIMATE OF UP TO £590,000, OF WHICH £450,000 WILL BE UNFINANCED, BE APPROVED.**

**RESOLVED:**

1. That the proposed development of the former Goods Shed and yard, Barfield Close, Winchester, into a Creative Enterprise Centre and depot for the combined Drainage and Streetcare Team be approved;

2. That the proposed development of three new industrial units at Matley's Yard, with two units to be used for corporate storage and one to be let on terms to be agreed by the Head of Estates, be approved.

3. That the Head of Estates be authorised to carry out stabilising works to the bank which forms the boundary between Matley's Yard and Rack Hill open space, in accordance with advice to be provided by a structural engineer.

4. That the Head of Estates be authorised to submit planning and other applications for change of use and works as may be required to secure the development of the property for the uses detailed in the Report.

5. That the principle of letting the new Creative Enterprise Centre to the University of Winchester on terms to be agreed by the Head of Estates be approved.

6. That, at an appropriate point in the development of the Creative Enterprise Centre, notice to quit be served on the artist tenants at Matley's Yard, and that these tenants be offered new studios at the new Creative Enterprise Centre on terms to be agreed by the Head of Estates, in consultation with the University of Winchester.

7. That, following the completion of the new Streetcare Depot, the combined Drainage and Streetcare Team be relocated there from the former Depot at Bar End.

8. Following completion of the industrial units at Matley's Yard, the contents of the Council's corporate storage facility at F2 on Bar End Industrial Estate be relocated to the two new units to be used for Council Storage.

9. That, following the vacation of the storage space at F2, the remaining artefacts stored at the former Bar End Depot be relocated to F2 where the rest of the museums reserve collection is already held, and that the premises be fully let to the Hampshire Cultural Trust.

10. That the grant payable to Hampshire Cultural Trust be adjusted once it is fully occupying F2, and the consequential savings in rates be vired towards operating costs of the new Council storage units at Matley's Yard, and the new Streetcare depot, as detailed in the report.

11. Pending the redevelopment of the site of the former Bar End Depot, the Head of Estates be authorised to secure such short term lettings of the premises as can be achieved to help defray the cost of holding the site.

12. The Head of Estates be authorised to appoint such consultants as are required to progress the development of the sites and to undertake the design of the bank stabilisation works in accordance with Contract Procedure Rule 9.2 (obtaining quotations/tenders).

13. That the building work to be managed under a Construction Management process, with the construction works being let as a single project with appropriate package contractors appointed, and the Head of Estates be authorised to procure a construction manager and package contractors.

14. That, prior to the commencement of construction works, a building agreement and agreement for lease be entered into with the University of Winchester on terms to be agreed by the Head of Estates in consultation with the Head of Legal and Democratic Services and the Chief Finance Officer.

15. That the cost of the works to stabilise the cliff face between Rack Hill and Matley's Yard be met from the Asset Management Plan budget and vired to the open space budget.

16. The Head of Estates be given delegated powers to approve any wayleaves or easements required by the public utilities to provide their services to the sites detailed in the report.

13. **ELECTORAL REVIEW OF HAMPSHIRE: DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS**  
(Report CAB2753 refers)

As local Ward Members, Councillors Weston and Pearson expressed disappointment regarding the County Council's proposals to split the Soberton Parish. They emphasised that this created confusion for residents and was not required due to the low numbers of electors affected. Cabinet agreed to make a recommendation to Council (as set out below) that the Local Government Boundary Commission be advised of these concerns.

At the invitation of the Chairman, Councillor Weir expressed concern regarding the proposal to split Weeke from the rest of the Winchester Town area, for County Electoral Division purposes. She believed that this was not appropriate as Weeke shared facilities with the neighbouring Teg Down and Bereweke areas, which would both remain within a County Electoral Division for the Town area. However, she did not yet know how many electors would be affected and would consider this aspect further before Council.

The Chairman acknowledged this concern and suggested that it be raised again at Council when further information regarding elector numbers was available. The Chief Operating Officer reminded Members the Report would also be considered at the next The Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the Report.

**RECOMMENDED:**

**1. THAT THE COUNCIL EXPRESSES REGRET THAT TWO PARISHED WARDS ARE PROPOSED IN SOBERTON PARISH TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE PROPOSED CHANGE IN COUNTY ELECTORAL DIVISION BOUNDARY.**

**2. THAT THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION BE ASKED TO CONSIDER MOVING THE WHOLE OF THE SOBERTON PARISH INTO THE SOUTHERN PARISHES COUNTY ELECTORAL DIVISION TO BETTER REFLECT COMMUNITY IDENTITY AS:**

**(A) ONLY 400 APPROX ELECTORS ARE INVOLVED;**

**(B) NO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT IS PROPOSED IN THAT PART OF THE DISTRICT; AND**

**(C) ACCEPTABLE VARIANCES FROM THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF ELECTORS PER COUNCILLOR WOULD STILL BE ACHIEVED.**

14. **MINUTES OF CABINET (LOCAL PLAN) COMMITTEE HELD 16 SEPTEMBER 2015**

(Report CAB2745 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the Report .

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Cabinet (Local Plan) Committee held 16 September 2015 be received (as attached as Appendix A).

15. **MINUTES OF CABINET (LOCAL PLAN) COMMITTEE HELD 6 OCTOBER 2015**

(Report CAB2746 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the Report, noting that the recommendations had been approved by Council at its meeting on 21 October 2015.

It was also noted that Councillor Power had drawn attention to a correction needed in the Cabinet (Local Plan) Committee Minutes of 6 October 2015. On Page 5, there was a reference to “unoccupied retail space” in New Alresford that “was in need of improvement”. The statement should have referred to “unoccupied commercial space” and it was noted that the matter was drawn to Council’s attention and would be formally corrected at the next Cabinet (Local Plan) Committee.

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Cabinet (Local Plan) Committee held 6 October 2015 be received (as attached as Appendix B).

16. **FUTURE ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION**

Cabinet noted the Chairman’s request for an update on Station Approach to the January Cabinet meeting. In addition, one Member suggested an item on the Air Quality Plan be included and it was agreed Officers would check which meeting this would be reported to.

RESOLVED:

That the list of future items, as set out in the Forward Plan for January 2016, be noted.

## 17. **EXEMPT BUSINESS**

### RESOLVED:

1. That in all the circumstances, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

2. That the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following items of business because it is likely that, if members of the public were present, there would be disclosure to them of 'exempt information' as defined by Section 100I and Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972.

<u>Minute Number</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Description of Exempt Information</u>
##	Exempt minute of the previous meeting	) Information relating to the financial or business affairs of
##	Creative Enterprise Centre, Streetcare depot & replacement storage (exempt appendices)	) any particular person (including the authority holding that information). (Para 3 Schedule 12A refers)
##	Housing Term Maintenance Contracts – Extension to Osborne Contracts	) Information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings. (Para 5 Schedule 12A refers)
##	Exempt minute of the previous meeting	) Information relating to any individual. (Para 1 Schedule 12A refers)
##	Financial Services & Revenues Review	) Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual. (Para 2 Schedule 12A refers)
		) Information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations, in connection with any labour relations matter arising between the authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or office holders under, the authority. (Para 4 Schedule 12A refers)

18. **EXEMPT MINUTE**

With regard to the Silver Hill minute (Report CAB2736 refers), Cabinet noted a correction to the date of the current planning permission expiring from February 2017 to February 2016.

RESOLVED:

That, subject to noting the above correction, the exempt minute of the previous meeting held 21 October 2015 be approved and adopted.

19. **UPDATE ON CREATIVE ENTERPRISE CENTRE, STREET CARE DEPOT AND STORAGE PREMISES, WINCHESTER (EXEMPT APPENDICES)**  
(Report CAB2750 refers)

Cabinet agreed to the following for the reasons outlined in the Report.

RESOLVED:

That the content of the exempt appendices be noted.

20. **HOUSING TERM MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS – EXTENSION TO OSBORNE CONTRACTS**  
(Report CAB2743(HSG) refers)

Cabinet invited the two TACT representatives present to remain during the exempt session for consideration of this Report. In addition, the two Standard Committee representatives were invited to remain. All four remained in the room during exempt session for consideration of this item only.

Cabinet considered the above Report which proposed an extension to the Osborne Contracts (detail in exempt minute).

21. **FINANCIAL SERVICES AND REVENUES REVIEW**  
(Report CAB2719 refers)

Cabinet considered the content of the exempt Report which set out proposals regarding the future structures of the Financial Services and Revenues Teams and requested agreement of a supplementary estimate in connection with these proposals (detail in exempt minute).

The meeting commenced at 10.00am and concluded at 12.40pm