

WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL

At an Ordinary Meeting of the Council held in the Guildhall, Winchester on 28 February 2007.

Attendance:

Councillor Nelmes (The Mayor in the Chair) (P)

Councillors:

Allgood (P)	Johnston (P)
Anthony (P)	Learney (P)
Baxter (P)	Lipscomb (P)
Beckett (P)	Love (P)
Bennetts (P)	Macmillan (P)
Berry (P)	Mather (P)
Beveridge (P)	Maynard (P)
Busher	Merritt (P)
Chamberlain (P)	Nunn (P)
Chapman (P)	Pearce (P)
Clohosey	Pearson (P)
Coates (P)	Pines (P)
Collin (P)	Quar (P)
Cook (P)	Read (P)
Cooper (P)	Rees (P)
de Peyer (P)	Ruffell
Evans (P)	Saunders (P)
Godfrey (P)	Spender (P)
Goodall (P)	Stallard (P)
Hammerton (P)	Stephens (P)
Higgins (P)	Sutton(P)
Hiscock (P)	Tait (P)
Hollingbery (P)	Verney (P)
Howell (P)	Wagner (P)
Huxstep (P)	Weston (P)
Izard (P)	Wood (P)
Jackson (P)	Worrall (P)
Jeffer (P)	Wright (P)

1. **MINUTES**

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on 10 January 2007 be approved and adopted.

2. **COMMUNICATION FROM THE MAYOR**

The Mayor referred to her recent Charity Ball and thanked everyone who supported the event. The evening had been very successful, making a profit of £10,500 for the Mayoral Charities.

3. **COMMUNICATION FROM THE LEADER**

The Leader reminded the meeting of the Member Training event due to be held on 6 March 2007, regarding Urban Design. The uptake to date had been disappointing and he urged all Members to attend this training, especially those serving on the Planning Development Control Committee.

4. **QUESTIONS UNDER COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 14(2)(b)**

1. Independent Valuations Prior to the Sale of Council Properties

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and Communities, Councillor Coates, answered a question from Councillor Izard.

2. Dealing with Contentious Planning Applications and Presentations at Planning Development Control Committee.

The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, Councillor Wood, answered a question from Councillor Cook.

3. Anti-Social Behaviour Orders

The Portfolio Holder for Environment, Health and Safety, Councillor Pearson, answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

4. 'Real Time' Bus Information at Bus Stops

The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, Councillor Wood, answered a question from Councillor Learney.

5. Lighting Levels in the Casson Block Yard

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, answered a question from Councillor Tait.

6. Statement of Community Involvement/Planning Applications

The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, Councillor Wood, answered a question from Councillor Lipscomb

7. Council Expenditure on Consultancy for 2005/06

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

8. Staff Reorganisation 2005 – Total Costs

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, answered a question from Councillor Cook.

9. Colebrook Street Car Park – Charging on 'Farmers Market' Sundays

The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, Councillor Wood, answered a question from Councillor Tait.

10. Cost of Photocopying and other General Paperwork

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

11. Building Regulations – Part L (April 2006)

The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, Councillor Wood, answered a question from Councillor Tait.

12. Mobile Phone Masts on City Council Land

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

13. Compliance with New Procedures for Postal Voting

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

14. Refuse Collection from Village/Parish Halls and Community Centres

The Portfolio Holder for Environment, Health and Safety, Councillor Pearson answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

15. Preparations for the Smoking Ban

The Portfolio Holder for Environment, Health and Safety, Councillor Pearson, answered a question from Councillor Cooper.

5. **PETITIONS UNDER COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 15**

The Mayor informed the Council that there were two petitions to be presented.

Councillors Anthony and Chapman each declared personal and prejudicial interests with regard to the petition which related to the provision of a school on land adjoining the Meadowside Recreation Centre, Whiteley, as they owned dwellings within the vicinity of the site. They left the meeting whilst the item was under consideration.

Councillor Allgood declared a personal interest with regard to the petition which related to the provision of a school on land adjoining the Meadowside Recreation Centre, Whiteley, as he was also a Hampshire County Councillor. He remained during consideration of the item.

The first petition was presented by Mrs Linda Snell, regarding the provision of a one form entry Primary School on land adjoining the Meadowside Recreation Centre, Whiteley. In her presentation, Mrs Snell made the following points:-

- (a) The petition was in the form of 633 individual letters, representing the views of 1,301 residents, all in favour of providing a school on the above site. Of the households canvassed on both the Winchester and Fareham sides of Whiteley, more than 80% supported the development.

- (b) Whiteley was in a very difficult situation with an over-subscribed primary school for the last five years. That over-subscription was now occurring in the overflow schools of Park Gate, Locks Heath and Sarisbury. All those schools were only accessible by car from the Whiteley area and that simply added to congestion and lengthy return journeys, all of which could not be socially or environmentally acceptable to the Council.
- (c) Parents and children had an expectation that they should be able to attend a school in their community. The school attendance outside the community, as referred to above, did not promote social interaction with neighbours or a sense of belonging.
- (d) Use of the 'Bunney land' would cost approximately £2.5 million more than the funds available. In the opinion of the Local Education Authority, it did not represent value for money and could not be built in the timescales reasonably expected by the community.

Mrs Snell concluded by requesting the Council to establish a committee to investigate whether or not the provision of this school was in the interests of this community. The Whiteley Action for Children's Education (Whiteley ACE) would be pleased to present a case to that committee for scrutiny.

The Mayor thanked Mrs Snell for her statement and invited her to remain as the Council would now have a discussion about the matters she had raised.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 15(6) the Council had a general discussion about the above. Whilst Members sympathised with the situation at Whiteley, the consultation exercise undertaken by the City Council with Whiteley residents within the Winchester District had show an 85% opposition rate to developing the land at Meadowside. That land represented the green heart of Whiteley Village and there were both amenity and practical reasons for not allowing it to be developed. The Meadowside Recreation Centre had over 26,000 visitors last year and its role in the local community was increasing. Therefore, the land was of great local importance as an open space and, if developed, would be lost forever.

In addition, there were severe doubts that, if built as proposed, the school could remain as a one form entry primary school and demands for its expansion could be reasonably anticipated in the near future. The County Council had clearly underestimated the demand for such a school in the original planning of Whiteley. The level of costs and delays, now put forward as justification for using the Meadowside site, were not over-riding considerations when balanced against the loss of this land and the far more satisfactory outcome which could be achieved in the foreseeable future.

In conclusion, the Leader of the Council explained that the main decision was either a smaller school now, or a better, larger school when North Whiteley was developed. Whilst he completely understood the inconvenience to parents, he emphasised that such inconvenience would be temporary, whereas development of the Meadowside site would result in the permanent loss of this very important open space. However, in fairness to all parties, he proposed that the matter be referred back to Cabinet for a final re-examination of the position, and that a recommendation from that meeting be made to the next Council. This was agreed.

The Mayor then invited Mrs Judith Burnett to address Council regarding her petition, which sought the retention of the Kings Walk Antique and Craft Market in the proposed Silver Hill Development.

The City Secretary and Solicitor drew attention to the forthcoming consideration of the Silver Hill planning application and advised that, whilst Members of the Planning Development Control Committee need not withdraw from the meeting for this item, they may wish to refrain from comment during the debate, to avoid any suggestion of predetermination or bias when the matter came before that Committee.

At the invitation of the Mayor, Mrs Burnett addressed the meeting and highlighted the following key points:-

- (a) Over 4,000 people had signed the petition, because they felt strongly about the preservation of both the building and the Antiques Market.
- (b) A building of this type enhanced the shopping experience in Winchester and it should be restored and made even more attractive, rather than demolished.
- (c) The Silver Hill developer has proposed that the traders could operate out of kiosks once the development was completed, but that was not a suitable alternative, particularly having regard to the antiques for sale and the lack of security offered by such a solution.

Mrs Burnett concluded that visitors to Winchester came to see something different and that included unique trading locations such as Antiques Market. The Council should be seeking to preserve such features, not remove them.

In response, the Leader of the Council explained that the Silver Hill planning application would be considered at a special meeting of the Planning Development Control Committee, to be held on 27 March 2007. Therefore, it was not appropriate at this stage for the Council to state a view on the petition. However, if it were agreeable to the petitioners, the Leader suggested that rather than have a debate at this stage, he would give an undertaking to re-examine both the future of the Antiques Market and the proposed facilities for the Antique Market traders in the new development.

As lead petitioner, Mrs Burnett indicated her acceptance of such an approach and this was duly agreed by the Council.

6. **CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDED MINUTES**

6.1 Cabinet – 13 February 2007

Winchester District Community Strategy Update – Final Draft (Recommended Minute 797)

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, moved that Recommended Minute 797 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

That the Recommended Minute 797 be approved and adopted.

(At this point in the meeting, due to the temporary indisposition of the Mayor, the Deputy Mayor (Councillor Pines) took the chair for the following Recommended Minute only)

6.2 Cabinet – 13 February 2007

Corporate Strategy 2007-2012 - Final Draft (Recommended Minute 798 Refers).

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, moved that Recommended Minute 798 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

That Recommended Minute 798 be approved and adopted.

6.3 Cabinet – 13 February 2007

Budget and Council Tax 2007/08 (Recommended Minute 633 and Report CL44)

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, moved that the recommendations set out in Report CL44, as amended by the revised recommendations circulated prior to the meeting (attached as Annex 3 to the minutes), be approved and adopted.

Amendment (1) Councillor Rees (2) Councillor de Peyer

“That this Council reconsiders the proposal to withdraw the grant to the Theatre Royal of £5,000 in the budget as presented tonight and that the grant be reinstated, with the sum being found from the LABGI Funding.”

Amendment lost.

Amendment (1) Councillor Learney (2) Councillor Evans

‘That, in Recommendation 2, all words after ‘agreed’ be deleted and an additional recommendation be added (with consequent renumbering of the other Recommendations) –

That spending of £345,000 to be covered by LABGI include:-

£75,000 – Economic Development Study.

£45,000 – Conserving Character/Design Guidance.

£20,000 – LDF additional funding to progress consultancy work.

£50,000 – CCTV expansion to be placed in reserves in preparation for system modernisation.

£5,000 – Graffiti removal kits to provide free kits for residents and businesses in the District.

£100,000 – Historic Building grants with preference given to grant applications designed to reduce the energy needs of older buildings.

£20,000 – ‘Green Cone’ type kitchen waste digester systems free to households.

£30,000 – Environmental Audit.

The remainder of the LABGI award to be placed into reserves.’

This amendment was subsequently withdrawn, following an assurance by the Leader of the Council that the areas of expenditure proposed in the amendment would be taken into account, when consideration was given to allocating the LABGI funding.

RESOLVED:

That the Recommendations set out in Report CL44, as amended by the revised recommendation circulated prior to Council and attached as Annex 3 to the minutes, be approved and adopted.

6.4 Council Tax 2007/08

RESOLVED:

1. That any expenses incurred by the Council in performing in a part of its area a function performed elsewhere in its area by a Parish Council/Town Council/Parish Meeting shall not be treated as special expenses for the purposes of Section 35 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, except in the case of the following special items relating to the non-parished area the aggregate amount of which is £751,710 (Appendix K to Report CL44 refers) and which is shown within the total of special items at paragraph 3(f) below:-

- (a) Magdalen Hill and West Hill Cemeteries;
- (b) Allotments;
- (c) Town centre Christmas lighting;
- (d) Footway lighting;
- (e) Bus shelter maintenance;
- (f) Grants for community facilities in the Town ("parish" element);
- (g) Parks and playing fields (except Abbey Gardens, Riverside Walks, the Weirs Gardens and St Giles Hill);
- (h) Community Wardens;
- (i) Neighbourhood Design Statements;
- (j) Arboricultural work;
- (k) St Faith's Meadow;
- (l) Administration of the Town Forum

2. That it be noted that at its meeting on 10 January 2007 the Council calculated the following amounts for the year 2007/08 in accordance with Regulations made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:-

- (a) 46,661.35, being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with Regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992, as its Council Tax base for the year.

3. That the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2007/08 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:-

- (a) £75,363,629.50 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act.

(b) £60,562,840.00 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act.

(c) £14,800,789.50 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year.

(d) £6,847,853.00 being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its general fund in respect of redistributed non-domestic rates and revenue support grant, increased by the amount of the sums which the Council estimates will be transferred in the year to its general fund from its collection fund in accordance with Section 98 of the Local Government Finance Act 1988.

(e) £170.44 being the amount at 3(c) above less the amount at 3(d) above, all divided by the amount at 2(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.

(f) £2,518,759.50 being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act.

(g) £116.46 being the amount at 3(e) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(f) above by the amount at 2(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates.

(h) the amounts shown in column 9 of annex 1, being the amounts given by adding to the amount at 3(g) above the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area mentioned in column 1 of annex 1 divided in each case by the relevant amount at 2(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate.

4. That it be noted for the year 2007/08, the Hampshire County Council, the Hampshire Police Authority and the Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

<u>Precepting Authority</u>	<u>Precept Amount</u>
Hampshire County Council (HCC)	£44,590,519.29
Hampshire Police Authority (HPA)	£ 5,849,933.45
Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority (HFRA)	£ 2,616,301.89

<u>Valuation</u>	<u>HCC</u>	<u>HPA</u>	<u>HFRA</u>
<u>Bands</u>			
A	637.08	83.58	37.38
B	743.26	97.51	43.61
C	849.44	111.44	49.84
D	955.62	125.37	56.07
E	1,167.98	153.23	68.53
F	1,380.34	181.09	80.99
G	1,592.70	208.95	93.45
H	1,911.24	250.74	112.14

5. That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 3(i) and 4 above, the Council, in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the amounts in columns 2-9 of annex 2 as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2007/08 for each of the categories of dwellings shown.

6.5 Cabinet – 17 January 2007

Capital Programme 2006/07 – 2011-12 (Recommended Minute 706)

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, moved that Recommended Minute 706 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

That Recommended Minute 706 be approved and adopted.

6.6 Cabinet – 17 January 2007

Business Plan Post Implementation Scrutiny Review (Recommended Minute 716)

The Portfolio Holder for Performance and Communications, Councillor Hollingbery moved that Recommended Minute 716 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

That Recommended Minute 716 be approved and adopted.

6.7 Cabinet- 13 February 2007

Treasury Management (Recommended Minute 801)

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, moved that Recommended Minute 801 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

That Recommended Minute 801 be approved and adopted.

6.8 Cabinet – 13 February 2007

The Royal Green Jackets – Transfer of Right of Freedom of Entry to The Rifles (Recommended Minute 807)

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, moved that Recommended Minute 807 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

That Recommended Minute 807 be approved and adopted.

6.9 Cabinet – 13 February 2007

Appointment of Independent Members and Parish Representatives to the Standard Committee (Recommended Minute 808)

The Leader of the Council, Councillor Beckett, moved that Recommended Minute 808 be approved and adopted.

RESOLVED:

1. That Recommended Minute 808 be approved and adopted.
2. That the following Members be appointed to serve on the Special Committee (Appointment of Independent Members to the Standards Committee) for the remainder of the 2006/07 Municipal Year – Cllrs Berry, Chapman, Cook, Evans, Howells, Hammerton, Jeffs, Lipscomb, Pines, Sutton and Verney.

7. **RECEIPT OF DELEGATED MINUTES**

In accordance with Council Procedural Rule 14(2)(a), the following questions were answered:-

1. Revenue Grant to the Bishop's Waltham Festival

The Portfolio Holder for Culture, Heritage and Sport, Councillor Stallard, answered a question from Councillor Evans.

2. Parking Charges for Low Emission Vehicles

The Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport, Councillor Wood, answered a question from Councillor Higgins.

3. Budget 2007/08 – Circulation of Proposed Parking Charges (Option 9)

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Resources, Councillor Allgood, answered a question from Councillor Evans.

4. Grant Support for Youth Organisations in the Winchester Town Area

The Portfolio Holder for Culture, Heritage and Sport, Councillor Stallard, answered a question from Councillor Tait.

The meeting commenced at 7pm and concluded at 10:30pm.

The Mayor

PARISH COUNCIL PRECEPTS AND COUNCIL TAXES 2007/08

(1)	BAND A (2) £	BAND B (3) £	BAND C (4) £	BAND D (5) £	BAND E (6) £	BAND F (7) £	BAND G (8) £	BAND H (9) £
BILLING AUTHORITY								
WINCHESTER	77.64	90.58	103.52	116.46	142.34	168.22	194.10	232.92
PRECEPT AUTHORITY								
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL	637.08	743.26	849.44	955.62	1,167.98	1,380.34	1,592.70	1,911.24
POLICE AUTHORITY	83.58	97.51	111.44	125.37	153.23	181.09	208.95	250.74
FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY	37.38	43.61	49.84	56.07	68.53	80.99	93.45	112.14
SPECIAL AREAS (BILLING AUTHORITY)								
WINCHESTER TOWN	870.96	1,016.12	1,161.28	1,306.44	1,596.76	1,887.08	2,177.40	2,612.88
PARISHES								
BADGER FARM	852.89	995.03	1,137.18	1,279.33	1,563.63	1,847.92	2,132.22	2,558.66
BEAUWORTH	835.68	974.96	1,114.24	1,253.52	1,532.08	1,810.64	2,089.20	2,507.04
BIGHTON	843.19	983.72	1,124.25	1,264.78	1,545.84	1,826.90	2,107.97	2,529.56
BISHOPS SUTTON	845.15	986.01	1,126.87	1,267.73	1,549.45	1,831.17	2,112.88	2,535.46
BISHOPS WALTHAM	911.03	1,062.86	1,214.70	1,366.54	1,670.22	1,973.89	2,277.57	2,733.08
BOARHUNT	860.58	1,004.01	1,147.44	1,290.87	1,577.73	1,864.59	2,151.45	2,581.74
BRAMDEAN & HINTON AMPNER	851.62	993.56	1,135.49	1,277.43	1,561.30	1,845.18	2,129.05	2,554.86
CHERITON	854.45	996.86	1,139.27	1,281.68	1,566.50	1,851.32	2,136.13	2,563.36
CHILCOMB	835.68	974.96	1,114.24	1,253.52	1,532.08	1,810.64	2,089.20	2,507.04
COLDEN COMMON	878.57	1,024.99	1,171.42	1,317.85	1,610.71	1,903.56	2,196.42	2,635.70
COMPTON & SHAWFORD	858.35	1,001.40	1,144.46	1,287.52	1,573.64	1,859.75	2,145.87	2,575.04
CORHAMPTON & MEONSTOKE	851.31	993.19	1,135.08	1,276.96	1,560.73	1,844.50	2,128.27	2,553.92
CRAWLEY	872.93	1,018.42	1,163.91	1,309.40	1,600.38	1,891.36	2,182.33	2,618.80
CURDRIDGE	855.09	997.60	1,140.12	1,282.63	1,567.66	1,852.69	2,137.72	2,565.26
DENMEAD	911.13	1,062.98	1,214.84	1,366.69	1,670.40	1,974.11	2,277.82	2,733.38
DROXFORD	852.18	994.21	1,136.24	1,278.27	1,562.33	1,846.39	2,130.45	2,556.54
DURLEY	856.28	998.99	1,141.71	1,284.42	1,569.85	1,855.27	2,140.70	2,568.84
EXTON	836.73	976.18	1,115.64	1,255.09	1,534.00	1,812.91	2,091.82	2,510.18
HAMBLEDON	851.15	993.00	1,134.86	1,276.72	1,560.44	1,844.15	2,127.87	2,553.44
HEADBOURNE WORTHY	843.58	984.18	1,124.77	1,265.37	1,546.56	1,827.76	2,108.95	2,530.74
HURSLEY	870.77	1,015.90	1,161.03	1,306.16	1,596.42	1,886.68	2,176.93	2,612.32
ITCHEN STOKE & OVINGTON	843.57	984.17	1,124.76	1,265.36	1,546.55	1,827.74	2,108.93	2,530.72
ITCHEN VALLEY	848.84	990.31	1,131.79	1,273.26	1,556.21	1,839.15	2,122.10	2,546.52
KILMESTON	851.27	993.14	1,135.02	1,276.90	1,560.66	1,844.41	2,128.17	2,553.80
KINGS WORTHY	872.77	1,018.24	1,163.70	1,309.16	1,600.08	1,891.01	2,181.93	2,618.32
LITTLETON & HARESTOCK	860.83	1,004.31	1,147.78	1,291.25	1,578.19	1,865.14	2,152.08	2,582.50
MICHELDEVER	854.86	997.34	1,139.81	1,282.29	1,567.24	1,852.20	2,137.15	2,564.58
NEW ALRESFORD	889.49	1,037.74	1,185.99	1,334.24	1,630.74	1,927.24	2,223.73	2,668.48
NORTHINGTON	851.53	993.45	1,135.37	1,277.29	1,561.13	1,844.97	2,128.82	2,554.58
OLD ALRESFORD	853.48	995.73	1,137.97	1,280.22	1,564.71	1,849.21	2,133.70	2,560.44
OLIVERS BATTERY	856.33	999.06	1,141.78	1,284.50	1,569.94	1,855.39	2,140.83	2,569.00
OTTERBOURNE	860.33	1,003.72	1,147.11	1,290.50	1,577.28	1,864.06	2,150.83	2,581.00
OWSLEBURY	861.62	1,005.22	1,148.83	1,292.43	1,579.64	1,866.84	2,154.05	2,584.86
SHEDFIELD	875.43	1,021.33	1,167.24	1,313.14	1,604.95	1,896.76	2,188.57	2,626.28
SOBERTON	848.60	990.03	1,131.47	1,272.90	1,555.77	1,838.63	2,121.50	2,545.80
SOUTH WONSTON	867.33	1,011.88	1,156.44	1,300.99	1,590.10	1,879.21	2,168.32	2,601.98
SOUTHWICK & WIDLEY	863.55	1,007.48	1,151.40	1,295.33	1,583.18	1,871.03	2,158.88	2,590.66
SPARSHOLT	846.95	988.11	1,129.27	1,270.43	1,552.75	1,835.07	2,117.38	2,548.86
SWANMORE	890.49	1,038.90	1,187.32	1,335.73	1,632.56	1,929.39	2,226.22	2,671.46
TICHBORNE	860.37	1,003.77	1,147.16	1,290.56	1,577.35	1,864.14	2,150.93	2,581.12
TWYFORD	868.33	1,013.06	1,157.78	1,302.50	1,591.94	1,881.39	2,170.83	2,605.00
UPHAM	855.50	998.08	1,140.67	1,283.25	1,568.42	1,853.58	2,138.75	2,566.50
WARNFORD	841.47	981.72	1,121.96	1,262.21	1,542.70	1,823.19	2,103.68	2,524.42
WEST MEON	864.09	1,008.11	1,152.12	1,296.14	1,584.17	1,872.20	2,160.23	2,592.28
WHITELEY	846.44	987.51	1,128.59	1,269.66	1,551.81	1,833.95	2,116.10	2,539.32
WICKHAM	867.60	1,012.20	1,156.80	1,301.40	1,590.60	1,879.80	2,169.00	2,602.80
WONSTON	859.73	1,003.01	1,146.30	1,289.59	1,576.17	1,862.74	2,149.32	2,579.18

CL44 – Budget and Council Tax 2007/08 – Revised Recommendations

To give effect to the proposed change relating to Meals on Wheels the figures in recommendation 1 are slightly altered and there is a revised wording for recommendation 2.

1. That the level of General Fund budget and Service budgets for 2007/08 be as follows:

	£
Chief Executive	925,250
City Secretary and Solicitor	2,604,520
Finance	2,114,470
Human Resources	(5,000)
Community	11,804,990
Development	3,481,280
	20,925,510
Savings to be allocated	(167,000)
Gross budget for General Fund Services	20,758,510
Financing Transactions	(6,681,770)
	14,076,740
Contributions to Winchester Town	(23,270)
LABGI	(167,000)
Transfer from Major Investment Reserve	(876,000)
Total	13,010,470
Less Charges on Winchester Town	(728,440)
Total City Expenditure	12,282,030

2. That the growth and savings proposals submitted in Appendices C (revenue growth totalling £986,000 in 2007/08) and D (savings and additional income totalling £950,000 in 2007/08) be approved, with the deletion of the savings item relating to Meals on Wheel and an increase of £21,000 pa to the savings figure relating to organisational development (shown in recommendation 1 above as savings to be allocated).

continued.....

Revised figures in the Appendices consequent to the above recommendation:

Page 8 – delete savings figure of £21,000 relating to meals on wheels

Page 7 – increase savings figure of £237,000 to £258,000 and of £227,000 to £248,000

Page 1 – increase community development figure from £3,766,230 to £3,787,230

Page 1 – increase sub total from £20,904,510 to £20,925,510

Page 1 – increase savings to be allocated figure from £146,000 to £167,000

Further Note - Local Authority Business Growth Incentive Scheme (LABGI)

The award for 2006/07 for LABGI has been announced at £458,047. After allowing for the two items of growth (page 6 of the appendices) of £95,000, there will be additional monies in the major investment reserve of £363,000 (pages 10 and 20 of the appendices).
