

PDC557
FOR DECISION
WARD(S): GENERAL

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

22 June 2005

PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

Contact Officer: John Hearn Tel No: 01962 848354

RECENT REFERENCES:

Report PS 56 to Principal Scrutiny Committee- Performance Report Concerning Planning Appeals (9.12.02)

Report EN 8 to Environment Performance Improvement Committee- planning appeals analysis of decisions. (12.03.03)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report provides a summary of appeal decisions received during May 2005, as requested by members at the EPIC meeting in March 2003. Copies of each appeal decision are available in the Members room if required.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1 That the report be noted.

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

22 June 2005

PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

DETAIL:

A summary of appeal decisions received during May 2005 for sites within Development Control Area East is set out below:

1.1 May 2005 Appeal Decisions for Development Control Area East

Date	Site	Decision	Proposal	Issues
06/05/05	W11793/06: The Old Stables East Street Alresford	Allowed	Removal of condition 03 on permission W11793/03 that the accommodation thereby permitted should be used only for purposes ancillary to the residential use of the existing dwelling.	Held that the removal of the condition would not impact on the street scene of East Street or the setting of the listed Cardew House. Furthermore the outbuilding is adjudged to lie in a sustainable location. DEL WR
19/05/05	W19185: 18 Stockers Avenue Winchester	Allowed	2-storey side extension.	Held that the proposal would not appear significantly intrusive. No issue about inherent design. The proposal would not unduly harm the appearance of the street or the amenity of the neighbouring property. DEL WR
31/05/05	ENF99/50 Land at New Barn Northside Lane Bishops Sutton Alresford	Dismissed	Appeal against Enforcement notice, regarding change of use from Agricultural to residential caravan site.	Held that development is not acceptable in light of policies restricting non-essential development in the countryside. DEL WR

DEL Delegated decision
CTTE Committee decision
WR Written representations
IH Informal hearing
PI Public inquiry

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

2 CORPORATE STRATEGY (RELEVANCE TO):

- 2.2 Success on appeal is a measure of quality. It demonstrates that the policies of the development plan and the decisions reached by officers and members can be successfully defended.

3 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

- 3.1 The number of appeals received and the success of appeals has an impact on staff time and legal costs.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:

None

APPENDICES:

None