#### PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

17 October 2013

<u>PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS (1 JULY 2012 TO 31 MARCH 2013)</u>

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Contact Officer: Julie Pinnock / Elaine Walters Tel No: 01962 848439

## **RECENT REFERENCES:**

Relevant planning applications files

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

This report provides a summary of appeal decisions received from 1 July 2012 to 31 March 2013. Copies of each appeal decision are available on the Council's website. During this period 47 appeals have been received. Of these decisions:

- 12 appeals were allowed (25%)
- 2 appeals were invalid or withdrawn (4%)
- 3 appeals were part allowed and part dismissed (6%)
- 30 appeals were dismissed (65%)

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Report be noted.

#### PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

#### 17 October 2013

# <u>PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS (1 JULY 2012 TO 31 MARCH 2013)</u>

## REPORT OF THE HEAD OF DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

#### Introduction

This report sets out the appeal decisions during the period 1 July 2012 to 31 March 2013. What is worthy of note is the high success rate of decisions upheld by the Council.

During this period, ignoring the withdrawn, invalid and partially dismissed appeals, the success rate is just over 71% being dismissed by the Appeal Inspector. The Council's target for appeal dismissals is 70%.

What is also worthy of note is that these appeals are all considered in light of the National Planning Policy Framework which came into effect on 27 March 2012, with the Inspectors finding the Councils policies essentially accorded with national guidance and were not therefore out of date.

There were four claims made for costs, but all four were refused by the Inspectorate.

#### Summary of appeal decisions received during the period

Item No: 1			
Date of Inspector's	2nd October	Inspector's	Allowed by SOS After HC
Decision:	2012	Decision:	Quashed
Appeal Procedure	Р	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 09/02412/OUT	
Case Officer:	Nick Parker
Original Decision Type:	Committee Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Development of approximately 93.1 hectares of land at Barton
	Farm to the east of Andover Road, Winchester to provide 2000
	dwellings, a local centre; formal and informal recreational spaces;
	park and ride facility for up to 200 cars; land for allotments;
	landscaping and new infrastructure (OUTLINE).
Location:	Barton Farm Andover Road Winchester Hampshire SO22 6AX

The Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government dismissed the appeal by Cala Homes to build 2,000 houses on the Barton Farm site to the north of Winchester on 28th September 2011.

The Secretary of State supported his Planning Inspector's conclusion that there were no overriding issues with the site itself which justified refusal. Instead, he said that any decision by him would undermine Winchester's own ability to plan for their future. He therefore concluded that the matter must be decided locally. This supports the Council's original refusal on the grounds that it was premature.

-----

Item No: 2			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	2nd July 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<ul> <li>W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;</li> <li>P – Public Inquiry;</li> <li>H - Householder</li> </ul>			

Case No:	11/01470/FUL		
Case Officer:		Mr James Jenkison	
Original Decision Type:		Delegated Decision	
Was Decision Overturned at		No	
Committee?			

Proposal:	Replacement of 3 No. two bed flats (approved under planning permission 09/02317/FUL) with a 2 no. three bed semi-detached dwellings
Location:	West Hayes Lodge Sarum Road Winchester Hampshire SO22 5EZ

#### **Summary of Inspector's Decision**

This development reconfigured an approved scheme for 3 flats into 2 dwellings and was part of a larger development.

#### Issues of interest are:

- privacy of gardens rather than windows between dwellings
- comparison of the openness of communal gardens of apartment developments to the clutter and fence enclosures of gardens for houses (It was a sloping site, so there would be a lot of fences on top of retaining walls).
- Dwellings shown as 3-bedroom but large enough to be 4-bedroom.
- Overlooking of private gardens a material planning consideration.
- Policy H7 dwelling mix requirement consistent with core strategy research.
- Interim sustainability policy to meet code level 5 held to be consistent with para. 96 & 97 of NPPF. However, interim policy of affordable housing found not to outweigh adopted policy H5.

Item No: 3			
Date of Inspector's	27th July	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry: H - Householder			

Case No:	11/00925/SFUL		
Case Officer:		Elaine Walters	
Original Decision Type:		Delegated Decision	
Was Decision Overturned at		No	
Committee?			

•	Siting of 5 No. Yurts for Holiday Letting and change of use of existing field shelter to ancillary toilet/shower/washing facilities
Location:	Land Adjacent To 6 Manor Farm Green Twyford Hampshire

Appeal allowed. The Inspector found the seasonal siting of yurts would not harm the ecological value of the site or the character and appearance of the surrounding area.

-----

Item No: 4			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	2nd August 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representate <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - F	•	Il hearing;	

Case No:	11/02647/FUL	
Case Officer:		Mrs Jill Lee
Original Decision Type: Delegated Decision		Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at No		No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Erection of detached three bedroom dwelling and associated
	works
Location:	1 Russet Close Alresford Hampshire SO24 9PS

# **Summary of Inspector's Decision**

Inspector found that due to its prominent siting, its cramped appearance, greater scale and detached form in relation to the rest of the terrace, would cause unacceptable harm to the character and appearance of the locality and would fail to accord with saved Winchester Local Plan Review Policy DP.3.

Item No: 5			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	10th July 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Allowed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	Costs Refused
<ul> <li>W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;</li> <li>P – Public Inquiry;</li> <li>H - Householder</li> </ul>			

Case No:	11/02833/FUL	
Case Officer:		Mr James Jenkison
Original Decis	ion Type:	Delegated Decision
<b>Was Decision</b>	Overturned at	No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Erection of 2 no. residential dwellings with access, car parking,
	bin and cycle store and landscaping works
Location:	Avenue Court The Avenue Bishops Waltham Hampshire

Inspector considered open space and transport contribution requirements to meet NPPF and 2010 CIL tests.

Lack of on-site amenity compensated for by nearby playground and sustainable location of site. Existing and proposed amount of landscaping considered adequate.

-----

Item No: 6			
Date of Inspector's	8th August	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No: 11/02247/FUL	
Case Officer:	Jill Lee
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Erection of detached three bedroom chalet bungalow on land to the rear of 46 Willis Waye (RESUBMISSION)
Location:	46 Willis Waye Kings Worthy Hampshire SO23 7QT

PDC975

Item No: 7			
Date of Inspector's	11th	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	September	Decision:	
	2012		
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W - Written representat	ion; I – Informa	l hearing;	
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 11/02781/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr Simon Avery
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Demolition of existing garage and erection of detached five bedroom house with detached double garage
Location:	Land South Of Malmains House Hambledon Road Denmead Hampshire

-----

Item No: 8			
Date of Inspector's	8th August	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No: 11/02987/FUL	
Case Officer:	Jill Lee
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 1 no. four bedroom and 1 no. two bedroom detached dwellings with associated parking and landscaping
Location:	Nairana Main Road Otterbourne Winchester Hampshire SO21 2DR

Item No: 9			
Date of Inspector's	20th	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	September	Decision:	
	2012		
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W - Written representat	ion; I – Informa	l hearing;	
P - Public Inquiry; H - F	louseholder	-	

Case No: 11/02750/FUL	
Case Officer:	Andrea Swain
Original Decision Type:	Committee Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Use of part of the East wing ballroom, gothic hall and music room for up to 6 wedding receptions a year in relation with weddings at the Church of St Mary
Location:	Old Alresford House Colden Lane Old Alresford Hampshire SO24 9DY

The site is not well served by public transport. Old Alresford House was found to be in an unacceptable location for the proposed use. The absence of sufficient parking could lead to parking on the highway.

The development would not detract from the character of the area nor impact on the neighbour's living conditions.

No evidence was submitted to demonstrate the change of use was required to enable works to the estate.

Item No: 10			
Date of Inspector's	1st October	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry: <b>H</b> - H		hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 11/02628/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr Simon Avery
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at Committee?	No

Proposal:	Replacement dwelling with new garage, demolition of existing buildings and hard standings; retention of granary building
Location:	The Granary Calcot Lane Curdridge Southampton Hampshire SO32 2BN

Item No: 11			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	20th September 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	<b>W</b>	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representa <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - F		al hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 11/02604/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr Simon Avery
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Removal of condition no. 20 of planning permission 08/01635/FUL; to remove the restriction on the order in which the residential units are built
Location:	Land Adjacent To Milnthorpe Sleepers Hill Winchester Hampshire

Item No: 12			
Date of Inspector's	25th	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	September 2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	<b>W</b>	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W – Written representat	tion; I – Informa	al hearing;	
P - Public Inquiry; H - F	louseholder	_	

Case No: 12/00038/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mrs Anna Hebard
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey side extension; new enclosed porch and block paving of the front driveway.
Location:	6 Botley Road Curdridge Southampton Hampshire SO32 2DS

Item No: 13			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	6th September 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representate <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - F		ll hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 11/02365/SFUL		
Case Officer:	Mrs Jane Rarok	
Original Decision Type:	Committee Decision	
Was Decision Overturned at	No	
Committee?		

em tennis
rd Hampshire
rd Hampsh

# **Summary of Inspector's Decision**

The proposal would materially and unacceptably harm the rural character of the area and fail to preserve the landscape beauty of the South Downs National Park.

.....

Item No: 14			
Date of Inspector's	1st October	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2012	<b>Decision:</b>	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - H		hearing;	

Case No: 11/03018/LIS	
Case Officer:	Miss Megan Birkett
Original Decision Type:	Committee Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Partial demolition of wall
Location:	New House Bishops Sutton Road Bishops Sutton Hampshire
	SO24 0AL

The Inspector considered that the wall provided a historic link to the use of New House as a farmhouse and contributes to the setting of the listed building. The Inspector considered that the works following demolition would detract from the setting of the listed building. Inspector considered the site was served by adequate car parking and the provision of additional off-road parking would have little impact on highway safety.

-----

Item No: 15			
Date of Inspector's	7th August	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - H	· ·	hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 12/00624/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr Andrew Rushmer
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Dropped kerb and re-grading of existing off road parking area
Location:	182 Stanmore Lane Winchester Hampshire SO22 4DR

\_\_\_\_\_

Item No: 16			
Date of Inspector's	11th	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	December 2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
W – Written representat P – Public Inquiry: H - F		al hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 12/00622/SFUL	
Case Officer:	Lewis Oliver
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Erection of grain store (THIS APPLICATION MAY AFFECT THE SETTING OF A PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY)
Location:	Stocks Down Farm Stocks Lane Meonstoke Southampton Hampshire SO32 3NQ

Item No: 17			
Date of Inspector's	11th	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	December 2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing; <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - Householder			

Case No:	12/00771/SAGA	
Case Officer	r:	Lewis Oliver
Original Dec	ision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at		No
Committee?	1	

Proposal:	Erection of grain store (THIS APPLICATION MAY AFFECT THE SETTING OF A PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY)
Location:	Stocks Down Farm Stocks Lane Meonstoke Southampton Hampshire SO32 3NQ

Item No: 18			
Date of Inspector's	28th	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	December	Decision:	
	2012		
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No:	12/00709/FUL	
Case Officer:		Mrs Jill Lee
Original Decis	ion Type:	Delegated Decision
<b>Was Decision</b>	Overturned at	No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Erection of detached flats comprising 2 no. two and 4 no. one bedroom flats; bin store and associated parking (amendment to existing planning permission 09/01585/FUL) (PART RETROSPECTIVE)
Location:	H G Bruce & Partners Ltd 25 Nelson Road Winchester Hampshire

.....

Item No: 19			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	5th November 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	I	Costs:	Application for costs from both sides. No costs awarded.
<ul><li>W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;</li><li>P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder</li></ul>			

Case No:	11/02545/SFUL	
Case Officer:		Lewis Oliver
Original Decis	ion Type:	Committee Decision
<b>Was Decision</b>	Overturned at	No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Residential development following demolition of existing dwelling and garage comprising of 7 no. three bedroom and 3 no. two bedroom dwellings with revised vehicular access, parking, landscaping and replacement front wall (RESUBMISSION)
Location:	Townsend Northend Lane Droxford Southampton Hampshire SO32 3QN

Item No: 20			
Date of Inspector's	10th	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	December	Decision:	
	2012		
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 11/02479/FUL	
Case Officer:	Lisa Booth
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	New access
Location:	Rosalinda Main Road Colden Common Winchester Hampshire
	SO21 1TJ

Item No: 21			
Date of Inspector's	26th	Inspector's	Appeal Part Allowed/Part
Decision:	September 2012	Decision:	Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representa <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - F	•	al hearing;	

Case No: 12/00810/FUL	
Case Officer:	Nick Parker
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

-	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single-storey ground floor rear and side
	extension and loft conversion to habitable accommodation.
Location:	43 Hyde Abbey Road Winchester Hampshire SO23 7DA

Item No: 22			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	18th December 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representate <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - F		al hearing;	

Case No:	12/00752/FUL	
Case Officer:		Miss Megan Birkett
Original Decis	ion Type:	Delegated Decision
<b>Was Decision</b>	Overturned at	No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Erection of 2 no. two bedroom semi-detached dwellings with associated parking and landscaping (RESUBMISSION)
Location:	Land Adjacent To Sunnyside Mount Pleasant Kings Worthy Hampshire

Item No: 23			
		1	<u>,                                      </u>
Date of Inspector's	29th January	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 11/03036/SFUL	
Case Officer:	Richard Ferguson
Original Decision Type:	Committee Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Erection of a stable block, re-siting of storage barn & equestrian use of land, with headland exercise routes (THIS APPLICATION MAY AFFECT THE SETTING OF A PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY) *
Location:	Twyford Poultry Farm Hare Lane Twyford Winchester Hampshire SO21 1FR

Item No: 24			
Date of Inspector's	14th February	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	Costs Refused
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - H		hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 11/03035/FUL	
Case Officer:	Andrea Swain
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Erection of new 3 bedroom chalet bungalow (RESUBMISSION)
Location:	Land At Rear Of 21-23 Downs Road South Wonston Hampshire

Item No: 25Date of Inspector's Decision:15th October 2012Inspector's Decision:Appeal AllowedAppeal Procedure (see code below):HCosts:No application for costsW – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder

<b>Case No:</b> 11/02513/FUL			
Case Officer:	Mr Andrew Rushmer		
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision		
Was Decision Overturned at	No		
Committee?			

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single storey side and rear extensions. Front porch extension. New first floor accommodation in raised roof. (amended plans received 24.02.2012 amending the design of the
	dormers in order to reduce overlooking of property opposite).
Location:	5 North Drive Littleton Winchester SO22 6QA

PDC975

Item No: 26			
Date of Inspector's	8th January	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry: H - Householder			

Case No: 12/01004/FUL	
Case Officer:	Lisa Booth
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at No	
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) First floor rear extension over existing single			
	storey existing extension; windows to front elevation to be			
	char	changed to timber windows.		
Location:	2 Hy	2 Hyde Church Path Winchester Hampshire SO23 7DN		
Item No: 27				
Date of Inspector's		8th January	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:		2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure		W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):				
W - Written repres	<b>W</b> − Written representation; <b>I</b> − Informal hearing;			
<b>P</b> – Public Inquiry;	P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No: 12/01005/LIS		
Case Officer:	Lisa Booth	
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision	
Was Decision Overturned at	Overturned at No	
Committee?		

Proposal:	First floor rear extension over existing single storey existing
	extension; windows to front elevation to be changed to timber
	windows.(AFFECTS THE SETTING OF A LISTED BUILDING)
Location:	2 Hyde Church Path Winchester Hampshire SO23 7DN

----

Item No: 28			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	22nd February 2013	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - F	•	I hearing;	

Case No: 12/01211/FUL	
Case Officer:	Lisa Booth
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at No	
Committee?	

Proposal:	1 no. two bedroom dwelling
Location:	1 Grosvenor Drive Winchester Hampshire SO23 7HF

.....

Item No: 29			
Date of Inspector's	16th October	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No:	12/01224/FUL	
Case Officer:	Officer: Miss Megan Birkett	
<b>Original Decis</b>	Original Decision Type: Delegated Decision	
Was Decision	S Decision Overturned at No	
Committee?		

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey side extension
Location:	78 Fromond Road Winchester Hampshire SO22 6EG

Item No: 30			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	21st January 2013	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	I	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - H		hearing;	

Case No:	12/00814/FUL	
Case Officer:		Mr James Jenkison
Original Decis	ion Type:	Delegated Decision
<b>Was Decision</b>	Overturned at	No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Erection of 2 no. two bedroom flats, 1 no. three bedroom and 1 no. four bedroom houses with associated garages, car ports and landscaping
Location:	Land To Rear Of Brickmakers Arms Church Road Swanmore Hampshire

Item No: 31			
Date of Inspector's	24th October	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No:	12/00948/FUL	
Case Officer:		Miss Megan Birkett
Original Decis	ion Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at		No
Committee?		

Proposal:	Removal of condition 1 of permitted permission-11/02401/FUL
Location:	57 Bereweeke Avenue Winchester Hampshire SO22 6BL

# **Summary of Inspector's Decision**

The appeal relates to 2 rooflights which were to be obscurely glazed and fixed shut, on the side elevation of a chalet bungalow. These serve a bathroom and an en-suite facility respectively. It was considered that the removal of the condition would not result in any serious overlooking or loss of privacy towards 2 Vernham Road.

Item No: 32			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	25th October 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representat <b>P</b> – Public Inquiry; <b>H</b> - H		hearing;	

<b>Case No:</b> 12/00957/FUL	
Case Officer:	Trish Price
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Increased height of existing 1.8m high close boarded fencing to 2.45m high for a 28.0m run of fencing to the North boundary
Location:	Rotherfield 13 Grange Road Winchester Hampshire SO23 9RT

Item No: 33			
Date of Inspector's	1st February	Inspector's	Appeal Part Allowed/Part
Decision:	2013	Decision:	Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No: 12/00656/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr Simon Avery
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

- ·	MOUGENOURED) To a contract to the second
Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey rear extension, demolition of
	existing single garage and erection of annexe accommodation
	with storage area/hobby room/gym to roof space and erection of
	car port; infill existing vehicular access onto Newlands Lane and
	formation of new vehicular access to the North of the site plus
	2.0m high acoustic fencing to Newlands Lane boundary
Location:	Heath Cottage Newlands Lane Purbrook Waterlooville Hampshire
	PO7 5SQ

Item No: 34			
Date of Inspector's	4th February	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 12/01225/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr Simon Avery
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Change of use of the approved and implemented development- 06/02730/FUL that comprises of a barn, 8 stables, associated access drive and hard standing for turning and parking for B1 office use (RETROSPECTIVE) (RESUBMISSION)
Location:	Land Adjacent To Access Track For Units 1 To 4 Lower Chase Road Swanmore Hampshire

Item No: 35			
Date of Inspector's	22nd	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	November 2012	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 12/01400/FUL			
Case Officer:	Trish Price		
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision		
Was Decision Overturned at	No		
Committee?			

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Loft conversion with dormer windows
Location:	Rock Dene Hoads Hill Wickham Fareham Hampshire PO17 5BX

.\_\_\_\_

Item No: 36			
Date of Inspector's	12th February	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry: H - Householder			

Case No:	12/00755/FUL		
Case Officer:		Mr Simon Avery	
Original Decision Type:		Delegated Decision	
Was Decision Overturned at		No	
Committee?			

Proposal:	Existing dwelling converted into 2 no. dwellings; two storey and single storey rear extensions and extension to rear studio building to form annex to be joined to main dwelling with a single storey corridor
Location:	4 School Cottages Trampers Lane North Boarhunt Fareham Hampshire PO17 6DG

Item No: 37			
			<del>,</del>
Date of Inspector's	17th January	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No:	12/01620/TPO	20/TPO		
Case Officer:		Mr Ivan Gurdler		
Original Decision Type:		Delegated Decision		
Was Decision Overturned at		No		
Committee?				

Proposal:	1no. Beech fell. 1no. Sycamore fell.
Location:	Street Record Beech Road Alresford Hampshire

Item No: 38			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	23rd November 2012	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	H	Costs:	No application for costs
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing; P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 12/01133/FUL	
Case Officer:	Andrea Swain
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey side and rear extension
Location:	116 Greenhill Road Winchester Hampshire SO22 5DU

.....

Item No: 39			
Date of Inspector's Decision:	17th January 2013	Inspector's Decision:	Appeal Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	W	Costs:	No application for costs
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing; P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No:	12/01544/TPO	
Case Officer:		Mr Thomas Gregory
Original Decision Type:		Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at		No
Committee?		

Proposal:	2no. Silver Birch fell.
Location:	Beech Lodge Grove Road Shawford Winchester Hampshire SO21 2DD

23 PDC975

Item No: 40	]		
Date of Inspector's	4th	Inspector's	Appeal Invalid
Decision:	December	Decision:	
	2012		
Appeal Procedure	W	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 12/01129/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mr James Jenkison
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Proposed use of site for agricultural and general contractors storage yard (use class B8) including retention of hard standing
Location:	Land East Of Lower Crabbick Farm Forest Road Denmead Hampshire

-----

Item No: 41			
Date of Inspector's	23rd January	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	Н	Costs:	Costs Refused
(see code below):			
W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 12/01276/FUL	
Case Officer:	Lisa Booth
Original Decision Type:	Committee Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	Yes
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) First floor rear extension (RETROSPECTIVE)
Location:	2 Mallard Close Bishops Waltham Southampton SO32 1LW

The Inspector considered that the proposal results in an awkward and uncoordinated appearance, particularly when seen from Park Road, and particularly apparent across the rear garden of 1 Mallard Close. The Inspector concluded that the blank façade is an unattractive and dominant feature in the roadside view, and concluded that the proposal was unduly harmful to the appearance of the dwelling and the visual amenities of the street scene. The Inspector considered the affect to the occupiers of no. 1 due to the height, mass and position relative to the rear wall was very evident. The Inspector considered that the blank façade, notwithstanding the 2m inset from the common boundary is an oppressive feature likely to unacceptably diminish the enjoyment of this amenity space by the occupiers of no. 1.

24 PDC975

In this case the Inspector considered the fall back suggested by the Appellant that if they reduced the depth of the ground floor extension to 3m (from 3.8m) the proposal would be permitted development. However the Inspector considered that in order for this to be a realistic fall-back she has to consider whether such a scenario is likely to happen, and was not convinced from the information available that the ground floor extension could be easily reduced whilst the upper part remained intact. The Inspector concluded that she did not consider there to be a realistic fall-back situation in this case.

An application for costs was made against the Council on the basis that the reason for refusal was contrary to the case officers recommendation, unsubstantiated, and without basis, and that regard was not paid to the fall-back position of what could be built under permitted development.

The Inspector noted that the Committee reached it own decision following a visit to the site, and the judgement of the Committee was that the extension was harmful both the character of the area and the amenity of the adjoining occupiers. She also noted no evidence to the support the contention that members were unduly swayed by the objections of neighbours, and the case was discussed at Committee as recorded in the Minutes.

The Inspector considered that the decision relied upon matters of planning judgement and there is sufficient information available to be clear about why the Planning committee reached a decision that did not accord with the advice of its Officers, and on that basis considered that the Council did not behave unreasonably and that the appellant was not put to the unnecessary expense of an appeal. She concluded that an award of costs was not justified.

-----

Item No: 42			
Date of Inspector's	17th January	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<ul><li>W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing;</li><li>P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder</li></ul>			

Case No:	12/01851/FUL	
Case Officer:		Lisa Booth
Original Decis	ion Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision	Overturned at	No
Committee?		

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey side extension
Location:	6 Hillside Kitnocks Hill Curdridge Southampton Hampshire SO32
	2HJ

Item No: 43			
Date of Inspector's	29th January	Inspector's	Appeal Allowed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<ul> <li>W – Written representation;</li> <li>I – Informal hearing;</li> <li>P – Public Inquiry;</li> <li>H - Householder</li> </ul>			

Case No: 12/01422/FUL	
Case Officer:	Mrs Jill Lee
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey side extension; single storey front extension; replacement of existing windows and external cladding
	to existing ground and first floors
Location:	Park Rise Holt Close Wickham Fareham PO17 5EY

.....

Item No: 44			
Date of Inspector's	27th February	Inspector's	Application Withdrawn
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Р	Costs:	No application for costs
W – Written representat	ion; I – Informal	hearing;	
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

<b>Case No:</b> 12/00599/SLDC	
Case Officer:	Emily Fallon / Janine Wright
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	Land to the front of Fisher and Hobbs Houses for the purpose of residential garden (CERTIFICATE OF LAWFULNESS)
Location:	Fisher House Alresford Road Winchester Hampshire SO21 1HL

Item No: 45			
Date of Inspector's	20th February	Inspector's	Appeal Dismissed
Decision:	2013	Decision:	
Appeal Procedure	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
(see code below):			
<b>W</b> – Written representation; <b>I</b> – Informal hearing;			
P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder			

Case No: 12/01551/FUL	
Case Officer:	Richard Whittington
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at	No
Committee?	

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Two storey extension over existing garage and study	
Location:	30 Coles Mede Otterbourne Hampshire SO21 2EG	

 Item No: 46

 Date of Inspector's Decision:
 21st February 2013
 Inspector's Decision:
 Appeal Allowed Decision:

 Appeal Procedure (see code below):
 H
 Costs:
 No application for costs

 W – Written representation; I – Informal hearing; P – Public Inquiry; H - Householder

<b>Case No:</b> 12/02182/FUL		
Case Officer:	Mr Ian Cousins	
Original Decision Type:	Delegated Decision	
Was Decision Overturned at	No	
Committee?		

Proposal:	(HOUSEHOLDER) Single and two storey extensions to dwelling, extended family room and lounge area and pitched roof over front
	projection with associated alterations
Location:	22 Courtenay Road Winchester Hampshire SO23 7ER

27 PDC975

Item No: 47			
Date of Inspector's	28th February	Inspector's	Appeal Part Allowed/Part
Decision:	2013	Decision:	Dismissed
Appeal Procedure (see code below):	Н	Costs:	No application for costs
<ul> <li>W – Written representation;</li> <li>I – Informal hearing;</li> <li>P – Public Inquiry;</li> <li>H - Householder</li> </ul>			

Case No:	12/02233/FUL	
Case Officer:		Mr Ian Cousins
Original Decision Type:		Delegated Decision
Was Decision Overturned at		No
Committee?		

•	(HOUSEHOLDER) Construction of two-storey rear extension, garage and porch
Location:	Poppy Down Cottage High Street Shirrell Heath Southampton SO32 2JN