### PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

#### 10 March 2011

#### Attendance:

Councillors:

Johnston (Chairman) (P)

Evans (P)
Hutchison (P)
Huxstep (P)
Jeffs (P)
Lipscomb
Mitchell (P)
Pearce (P)
Tait (P)

#### **Deputy Members:**

Councillor Read (Standing Deputy for Councillor Lipscomb)

Others in attendance who addressed the meeting:

Councillor Higgins

Others in attendance who did not address the meeting:

Councillor Bell

#### 1. MINUTES

The Committee considered the minutes of the previous meeting held on 17 February 2011.

With regard to Item 2 (Little Frenchies Field, Denmead) at paragraph 2 on page 3, Councillor Read clarified that he had raised comments on the proposals and that he had not objected to the application.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That, subject to the above correction, the minutes of the previous meeting of the Committee, held on 17 February 2011 be approved and adopted.

#### 2. **DEVELOPMENT CONTROL SCHEDULE**

(Report PDC885 refers)

The schedule of development control decisions arising from consideration of the above Report is circulated separately and forms an appendix to the minutes. In the public participation part of the meeting, the following items were discussed:

# <u>Item 1: Winchester University Sports Ground, Milland Road, Winchester 10/02418/FUL</u>

Councillor Higgins addressed the Committee on behalf of local residents who were concerned at the proposals and Mr Geddes (for the applicant) spoke in support.

In summary, Councillor Higgins stated that use of the facilities until 9pm was reasonable. However, after this time, houses in Chilcomb Road, Milland Road and Gordon Road that backed onto the sports ground would be directly affected by the floodlights and from noise. Councillor Higgins also stated that cars would not leave the vicinity until about 10.30pm or later and this also created disturbance. The increased traffic in these residential roads was also unacceptable.

The Head of Planning Management responded that the application was for a variation to the existing permission, to allow the use of floodlights to be used for one additional hour. This was considered acceptable, as it would allow the facility to be used during the winter months for the same time as it was currently used in the summer months.

Following debate, the Committee agreed to grant planning permission for the reasons (and subject to the conditions) as set out in the Report. The Committee also agreed that an informative be added (with exact wording delegated to the Head of Planning Management) to specify that the floodlights should be turned off between 21.00 and 22.00 if the facility was not in use.

#### Item 2: 18 Quarry Road, Winchester 10/02699/FUL

Mr Mendelsohn (applicant) spoke in support of the application and against the recommendation to refuse permission.

The Head of Planning Management reported that subsequent to the publication of the Report, the Council's Arboricultural Officer had raised no objection to the proposal, on the basis of the revised plans and tree information recently submitted by the applicant. Any planning permission should be subject to two additional conditions related to necessary protective measures for trees.

Following debate, the Committee agreed to refuse planning permission for the reasons set out in the Report.

#### Item 3: Black Farm, Avington, Winchester - Case Number 10/03173/LIS

Mr Heppell (agent on behalf of the applicant) spoke in support of the application and against the recommendation to refuse.

The Head of Planning Management reported that, subsequent to the

publication of the Report, representations from English Heritage had been received and placed on the case file. He also reported that, on the morning of the committee, an appeal decision had been received in respect of a previous decision for 3 rooflights which had been dismissed. In summary, these concurred with the comments of the Head of Historic Environment that the proposal should be refused.

Following debate, the Committee agreed to refuse Listed Building Consent for the reasons set out in the Report.

#### RESOLVED:

- 1. That the decisions taken on the Development Control Applications, as set out in the Schedule which forms an appendix to the minutes, be agreed.
- 2. That, in respect of Item 1, planning permission be granted for the reasons (and subject to the conditions) in the Report and that authority be delegated to the Head of Planning Management to agree the exact wording of an informative to specify that the floodlights should be turned off between 21.00 and 22.00 if the facility was not in use.

The meeting commenced at 1pm and concluded at 3.25pm

Chairman

#### WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE: DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MEETING

**DECISIONS** 

10.03.2011

PART II DEVELOPMENT CONTROL APPLICATIONS

AND DECISIONS THEREON

Page 1 Delegatedv1

#### Winchester Town Ward St John And All Saints

1 Conservation

Area:

**Case No:** 10/02418/FUL **Ref No:** W17984/04

**Date Valid:** 15 September 2010 **Grid Ref:** 449029 128594

Team: EAST Case Officer: Andrea Swain

**Applicant:** University Of Winchester

**Proposal:** Variation of condition no. 6 of permission 06/03721/FUL; to

allow the use of floodlights for one additional hour each

evening until 22.00hrs

**Location:** Winchester University Sports Ground, Milland Road,

Winchester, Hampshire

**Officer** PER

Recommendation

:

#### **Committee Decision:**

APPROVE SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITION(S):-

#### **Conditions/Reasons**

1 "No floodlighting shall be used after 2200hrs unless previously agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To protect the amenity of residential properties in the locality."

#### **Informatives**

1. This permission is granted for the following reasons: The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the

Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

2. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: DP3, CE28 and RT5. South East Plan 2009: S5 and S6.

Page 2 Delegatedv1

#### WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL- PLANNING DEPARTMENT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE MINUTES 10 March 2011

3. The applicant is requested to ensure that if the lights are not in use between 9.00p.m. and 10.00p.m., then they should be switched off.

#### Winchester Town Ward St John And All Saints

2 Conservation

Area:

Case No: 10/02699/FUL Ref No: W08780/02 29 October 2010 Date Valid: Grid Ref: 449024 129082

Team: **EAST** Case Officer: Mr Nick Fisher

Applicant: Mr Richard Mendelsohn

Proposal: Proposed re-roofing of the existing house with a zinc alloy

roofing material. Proposed erection of a South facing dormer

extension within the raised roof space. Erection of an attached timber car port to the front of the house with

associated side boundary wall. Erection of a porch to the front

of the house. Proposed alterations to the side (eastern) elevation to include the removal of a side door, removal of a window and the insertion of a first floor window. Proposed replacement of the existing windows with double glazed units.

Proposed introduction of zinc alloy cladding beneath the windows upon the front and rear elevations. Removal of leylandi upon the front elevation, replacement with a

hedgerow. Proposed re-surfacing of the driveway area with

associated level changes to the front of the house.

Location: 18 Quarry Road, Winchester, Hampshire, SO23 0JG

Officer **REF** 

Recommendation

#### **Committee Decision:**

REFUSED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING REFUSAL REASON(S):-

#### Conditions/Reasons

1 The proposed development is contrary to policy DP3 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review for the following reason:-

The proposed roof alteration and associated dormer window relate poorly to the existing house in terms of size, scale and location within the roof. Due to the proposed dormer's bulky appearance it will not appear to be subservient to the

> Page 3 Delegatedv1

### WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL- PLANNING DEPARTMENT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE MINUTES 10 March 2011

existing building and will result in a prominent and visually dominant form of development. The proposed rear dormer will be visible from both long and close views from the public realm.

The use of zinc cladding beneath the windows will relate poorly to the existing brick to the detriment of the appearance of the building.

#### **Informatives**

1. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: DP1. DP3. DP4

South East Plan 2009: CC6

Itchen Valley Ward Itchen Valley

3 Conservation

Area:

 Case No:
 10/03173/LIS

 Ref No:
 W13229/13LB

 Date Valid:
 13 December 2010

 Grid Ref:
 455089 132114

Team: EAST Case Officer: Mr Andrew Rushmer

**Applicant:** Mr And Mrs Kim Gottlieb

**Proposal:** 2 No new rooflights to be installed to Eastern roof pitch of

main roof

**Location:** Black Farm, Avington, Winchester, Hampshire SO21 1DA

Officer REF

Recommendation

•

#### **Committee Decision:**

REFUSED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING REFUSAL READSON(S):-

#### **Conditions/Reasons**

1 The proposed rooflights would harm the special interest of a Grade II\* listed building, contrary to the requirements of policy HE.14 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006 and Planning Policy Statement 5.

Page 4 Delegatedv1

## WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL- PLANNING DEPARTMENT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE MINUTES 10 March 2011

#### **Informatives**

1. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: HE14 South East Plan 2009: BE6 Planning Policy Statement 5

Page 5 Delegatedv1