Planning Committee

Update Sheet

The information set out in this Update Sheet includes details relating to public speaking and any change in circumstances and/or additional information received after the agenda was published.



South Downs National Park Authority

Working in Partnership

ltem No	Ref No	Address	Recommendation
1	17/02302/FUL	1 The Old Piggery, Firgrove Lane, North Boarhunt, PO17 6JU	REFUSE
Offic	er Presenting:	Liz Marsden	
Obje Paris Ware	<u>lic Speaking</u> ector: sh Council repr d Councillor: porter:	esentative: Cllr Dell	
	d of Landscape:	Ecology comments amended to read 'a y positive decision can be made'.	additional information

ltem No	Ref No	Address	Recommendation		
2	17/02250/FUL	7 Stoney Lane, Winchester	PERMIT		
Offic	Officer Presenting: Liz Marsden				
Obje local Paris Warc	Public Speaking Objector: Jane Parker (Adams Hendry Consultants Limited – speaking on behalf of local residents). Parish Council representative: Ward Councillor: Cllr Learney Supporter: Jason Murphy (Agent)				
1.	 <u>Update</u> <u>Head of Landscape – Trees.</u> Completed verbal comments The details provided in the submitted arboricultural report, together with implementation of the recommendations for protective measures, are sufficient to ensure that the trees on site should not be adversely affected. <u>Ecology – The following conditions and informative should be added.</u> <u>Conditions:</u> The recommendations within the Peach Ecology <i>Ecological Assessment</i> of 2nd August 2017 shall be adhered to. 				
	Prior to any translocation of reptiles, a translocation strategy will be produced and sent to the LPA for approval; approved works shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and timing of the works, unless otherwise approved in writing by the local planning authority. Following the preparation of a suitable reptile receptor site, all reptiles will be translocated in accordance with current best practice.				

<u>Informative:</u> A European Protected Species Licence pertaining to bats will be required from Natural England prior to the start of development or any preparatory works likely to impact upon them. Failure to secure the licences beforehand may lead to prosecution.

3. <u>Drainage</u> comments have been received which recognises that there is a risk of surface water flooding at the lowest part of the site. It is requested that condition 7 is amended to read:

'Detailed proposals for the disposal of foul and surface water, especially measures for controlling surface water run off, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before the commencement of the development hereby permitted. The approved details shall be fully implemented before development can begin'.

- Additional informative to be added.
 'A formal application for connection to the public sewerage system is required in order to service this development. Please contact Southern Water, Sparrowgrove House, Sparrowgrove, Otterbourne, Hampshire, SO21 2SW (Tel: 0330 303 0119) or <u>www.southernwater.co.uk</u>
- 5. Amend condition 17 from drawing no. '7311 D1 rev. D' to '7311 D1 rev. E'

6. Two further letters from neighbours reiterating objections and requesting again additional information with regard to the relationship of the development with 5 and 6 Stoney Court. Subsequent to additional information being provided, further letter querying the accuracy of the plans.

ltem No	Ref No	Address	Recommendation
3	17/02356/F	FUL The Corner House, 15 Bereweeke Close, Winchester, SO22 6AR	REFUSE
Offic	er Present	ing: Katie Nethersole	
Obje Paris Ware	sh Council d Councillo	Dickens, Eryl Smith & Scot Buchan representative:	
<u>Upda</u>			
None	e		

ltem No	Ref No	Address	Recommendation	
4	17/02004/HO U	30 Clifton Road, Winchester, SO22 5BU	REFUSE	
Offic	er Presenting:	Rose Lister		
<u>Public Speaking</u> Objector: James Mallinson Parish Council representative: Cllr Tod Ward Councillor: Supporter: John Hearn (Agent)				
Upda	ate			
subm	•	report an additional letter of support from th ot considered to be a 'supporting letter' and		
•	e 36 penultimate lern contrast'	e paragraph typing mistake in last line which	ו should read	

ltem No	Ref No	Address	Recommendation	
5		Texas, Texas Drive, Olivers Battery, SO22 4HT	PERMIT	
Offic	er Presenting	: Lorna Hutchings		
	ic Speaking			
-	ctor: Graham /	Andrews resentative: Cllr Mitchener		
	•	Cllr Bell, Cllr Laming & Cllr Warwick		
		v Tyrrell (Architect) & Sarah Ansty (Applicat	nt)	
			,	
Since as a subm Com Decid Deve Large No p	<u>Update</u> Since the agenda was published a further 7 letters of objection have been received as a result of further consultation in respect of the landscape plan and visuals submitted. Comments raised include: Deciduous species proposed Development not effectively screened Large white box shown in visuals and the visuals demonstrate the significant impact No planting to the rear of the property to replace the vegetation removed			
Land	scaping will tak	d do not reflect the landscaping plan a years to establish. have also commented further which is prim	ted in full as follows:	

From: Olivers Battery Parish Council Sent: Fri, 8 Dec 2017 08:31:14 +0000

To: Lorna Hutchings

Subject:17/02190/FUL Texas, Texas Drive, Olivers Battery SO224 4HT Case no. 17/02190/FUL Texas, Texas Drive, Olivers Battery SO224 4HT Oliver's Battery PC Comments on amended plans posted on 24 November 2017

The PC considered the amended plans at its meeting on 5 December 2017; the meeting heard from a number of concerned local residents who expressed objections to the proposed scheme as well as the amended plans.

The PC refers the Planning Committee to the its previous objections to the proposed scheme submitted on 10 October 2017 and notes the amended plans do not address the PC's key points (objections).

The PC's previous objections are therefore still valid and the PC encourages the Committee to give them full attention and to reject the application.

The PC urges the Planning Committee to avoid being side tracked by a discussion on the amended plans, and to focus on the important and serious objections previously submitted by the PC and many others.

The PC comments on the amended plans:

1. We question the validity of some of the existing and proposed views - some of the positions and elevations are not typical of routes normally taken by walkers in this area and therefore do not give a correct interpretation of the impact on the views enjoyed by parishioners and others in the countryside gap. For example: View 6 appears to be taken from private farmland not normally accessible by walkers. 2. We question the size of the photo shopped image of the proposed house on some of the views; the scale looks incorrect. For example: Views 1, 2, 3 Proposed. 3. The amended plans show a small number of mature trees planted as part of the proposed development. We are concerned the specimens would not reach maturity for at least 5 or 6 years and therefore the images do not accurately illustrate the views in the short or even mid term. For example: Views 2 and 5 Proposed.

4. Mature trees are shown at very regular spacing (View 5 Proposed) which cannot be described as natural or in keeping with the surrounding landscape.

5. The boundary planting plan states maintenance for 36 months for all planting; the PC is concerned about the long term impact of the proposals and therefore 36 months is completely inadequate.

6. The bund section drawing shows 'levels stated as built surveyed August 2017'. The PC questions if this drawing accurately shows the present levels of the bund – certainly further to the north the bund appears to be steeper than shown on the section.

7. Recent experience causes us to question if serious enforcement would be brought to bear on the applicant in the event the proposed planting fails to comply with a consented scheme, to the serious detriment of the views and local gap as noted above.

In conclusion the PC again urges the Planning Committee to avoid being side tracked by a discussion on the amended plans and to reject this application.

CONDITION 09 update

09 The development hereby approved shall be constructed in accordance with the following

plans:
1501B_01_P1
1501B_02_P1
1501B_03_P1
1501B_04_P1
1501B_05_P1
1501B_06_P1
1501B_07_P1
1501B_08_P1
1501B_09_P1
1501B_10_P1
1501B_11_P1
1501B_12_P1
View 1 - Existing 12 NTS A3 P1
View 1 - As Approved 13 NTS A3 P1
View 1 - Proposed 14 NTS A3 P1
View 2 - Existing 15 NTS A3 P1
View 2 - As Approved 16 NTS A3 P1
View 2 - Proposed 17 NTS A3 P2
View 3 - Existing 18 NTS A3 P1
View 3 - As Approved 19 NTS A3 P1
View 3 - Proposed 20 NTS A3 P2
View 4 - Existing 21 NTS A3 P1
View 4 - As Approved 22 NTS A3 P1
View 4 - Proposed 23 NTS A3 P1
View 5 - Existing 24 NTS A3 P1
View 5 - As Approved 25 NTS A3 P1 View 5 - Proposed 26 NTS A3 P3
View 6 - Existing 27 NTS A3 P1
View 6 - As Approved 28 NTS A3 P1
View 6 - Proposed 29 NTS A3 P3
View 7 - Existing 30 NTS A3 P1
View 7 - As Approved 31 NTS A3 P1
View 7 - Proposed 32 NTS A3 P2
Key Plan 33 NTS A3 P1
Bund Section 34 1:100 A3 P2
Revised Landscaping Plan 1516-0103 Rev 3

ltem No	Ref No	Address	Recommendation
6		Land adjacent to Appletree Cottage, Chilcomb Lane, Chilcomb	PERMIT
Offic	er Presenting	: Sarah Tose	
	ic Speaking		
-	ctor: Piers She sh Council rep		
	d Councillor:		
Supp	oorter: Ian Ellis	s (Agent) & Harry Dhand	
<u>Upda</u>	ate		
None	9		

End of Updates