CABINET

Tuesday, 10 November 2020

Attendance:

Councillor Thompson – Leader and Cabinet Member for

(Chairperson) Communications

Councillor Cutler (Vice-Chair) – Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance

and Risk

Councillor Ferguson – Cabinet Member for Local Economy
Councillor Learney – Cabinet Member for Housing and Asset

Management

Councillor Murphy – Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency
Councillor Porter – Cabinet Member for Built Environment and

Wellbeing

Councillor Prince – Cabinet Member for Sport, Leisure and

Communities

Councillor Tod - Cabinet Member for Service Quality and

Transformation

Others in attendance who addressed the meeting:

Councillors Brook, Horrill, Hutchison, Lumby, Mather and Read

Full audio recording and video recording

1. MEMBERSHIP OF CABINET BODIES ETC.

There were no changes to memberships of Cabinet bodies to be made.

2. **DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS**

Councillors Porter and Tod declared personal (but not prejudicial) interests in respect of reports due to their role as a County Councillors.

3. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Five members of the public spoke during public participation as summarised briefly below.

John Fairey

Spoke regarding report CAB3272 (agenda item 6) as a member of the Taxi and Private Hire Forum and the operator of a private hire business which undertook school journeys for the County Council. He objected to the "end of life" provisions in the proposed Policy as he considered them unnecessary for his particular business and would make it unviable. He suggested other local authorities had been able to make exceptions for operators in similar circumstances.

The following speakers all addressed Cabinet regarding report CAB3271 (agenda item 5).

Kim Gottlieb

Considered that the report's proposals regarding Central Winchester regeneration (CWR) were too vague and did not offer adequate protection to the Council against a developer just seeking to maximise profits. The Council should either employ a master planner to lead on the scheme or delegate to a community based trust. The proposal disregarded the recommendation in the Supplementary Planning Document that the site be developed by multiple developers. He welcomed the quality of the Kings Walk feasibility study but considered the proposal lacked ambition and would effectively sterilise the site for 10 years.

Wendy Wyatt (Worthwhile works, co-author of the Kings Walk feasibility study) Highlighted the current shortage of creative networks, support organisations and leadership for creatives within Winchester. Emphasised the demand for such support networks considering the high number of people working within the creative industries in Winchester and that there should be a Creative Enterprise Zone. Kings Walk offered an excellent opportunity to share and showcase talent, as well as acting as a catalyst for future initiatives.

Richard Baker (City of Winchester Trust)

Queried what response the Council was seeking to receive on the proposals as set out? Asked whether the viability assessment had included an assessment of the future proposed uses by the Council of the Cattle Market, Station Approach and River Park sites? At what stage in its proposals for CWR would the Council engage with the Winchester Movement Strategy?

Paul Spencer (Winchester BID)

Welcomed the opportunity for the BID to participate in the recent consultation on the Vision for Winchester with the Winchester Town Forum. Emphasised the wish of many Winchester businesses to see the plans for CWR progress as quickly as possible and to break the apparent cycle of continual opposition to change and development.

One member of the public, Mr T Gould, had registered to speak but technical difficulties had prevented him from addressing the meeting. He had submitted an email with comments on CAB3271 which was circulated to all Cabinet members during the meeting.

The Leader thanked all those addressing Cabinet for their comments which would be considered under the relevant reports below.

4. LEADER AND CABINET MEMBERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no announcements made.

5. CENTRAL WINCHESTER REGENERATION DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS

(CAB3271)

Councillor Learney introduced the report and emphasised that despite the pressure facing the Council due to the ongoing Covid pandemic, the regeneration of the Central Winchester area had remained a priority. The proposals in the report sought to address the requirements of the CWR Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) and to deliver a financially viable scheme.

The Head of Programme gave a presentation on the proposals (presentation contained as Appendix B to the report). Councillor Learney also set out the timetable and contact options for the proposed consultation (further information available at www.winchester.gov.uk/cwr)

At the invitation of the Leader, Councillors Hutchison, Mather, Horrill, Brook and Lumby addressed Cabinet as summarised briefly below.

Councillor Hutchison

Welcomed the report and presentation and agreed with previous public speakers that the project should move forward as quickly as possible. However, with the exception of the proposals for Kings Walk, the report lacked detail and raised a number of questions (which she expected would be answered as the project proceeded). Listed a number of detailed points and queries for further consideration, including around the selection of the development partner, producing a master plan for the area and how would the Winchester Town Forum and other stakeholders be involved.

Councillor Mather

Highlighted the amount of consultation that had been undertaken on the CWR project already, for example in producing the SPD, and believed residents were weary of further consultation. Welcomed the proposals for Friarsgate surgery and the Kings Walk study, but with regard the latter, disputed whether the ideas would be commercially viable. Agreed with the idea of working with a development partner, but hoped that lessons would be learned from past experiences.

Councillor Horrill

Emphasised the extensive consultation undertaken by JTP in formulating the SPD and requested that future proposals adhere as closely as possible to these findings, including retaining the central bus hub. New ideas were welcomed where appropriate but the Council should not seek to "reinvent the wheel". The proposed consultation event should be district wide. The development should be approached incrementally and the Council could seek to draw on the Oxford City Council's experience of working in collaboration with specialist developers.

Councillor Brook

Generally welcomed the proposals outlined in the report and thanked the Projects Team for their work. Believed that the proposals for Kings Walk mirrored previous ideas for meanwhile use of the bus station and

questioned whether additional monies were being spent unnecessarily on work already carried out. Welcomed the idea of a Creative Hub for Winchester. Considered that the project should be progressed by a cross party group.

Councillor Lumby

Requested further clarity on the future timetable for the project and what further approvals would be required. Welcomed the useful questions asked by Councillor Hutchison. Believed there were other development options available than the three presented in the report. Considered that the preferred approach should be to develop the area in sections using different developers. Further clarity was required on a number of questions, such as what would happen if a selected developer was unable to proceed.

Councillor Learney responded to comments made, including emphasising that it would be impracticable to wait for all uncertainties to be addressed before proceeding and that the involvement of multiple developers would add to the time and complexity of the project. She stated that a number of the detailed points raised would be addressed as the project moved forward. Virtual consultation would enable participation by the whole district. The Strategic Director: Place also thanked invited councillors and members of the public/organisations for the points raised, which had been noted. He responded to a number of comments, including emphasising that the delivery strategy represented an emerging direction of travel and that officers worked closely with the County Council regarding the impact of the Movement Strategy on the project. It was proposed that a further report would be submitted to Cabinet in February 2021.

Cabinet agreed the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

- 1. That a period of consultation on the draft Central Winchester Regeneration development proposals commence from 11 November 2020 to 12 January 2021.
- 2. That the project team be instructed to progress the schemes for Kings Walk and Friarsgate Medical Centre to the next stage of decision making.
- 3. That it be noted that the emerging delivery strategy approach is to enter in to a development agreement with a development partner and a further report be brought to Cabinet that sets out the proposal for development in due course.

6. REVIEW OF HACKNEY CARRIAGE & PRIVATE HIRE POLICY PHASE 2 (CAB3272)

Councillor Porter introduced the report, noting that the Policy had been produced following consultation with drivers and passengers. It was recommended for approval by Licensing and Regulation Committee on 15 September 2020 where a number of drivers had addressed the meeting, including Mr Fairey who had also made comments in the public participation session above (minute extract contained as Appendix 2 to the report). Following further forum meetings with drivers where the ongoing impact of the Covid pandemic was raised, it was now proposed that the introduction of some sections of the Policy be delayed as detailed in the report.

The Licensing Manager acknowledged the comments made by Mr Fairey (who was also a member of the Taxi and Private Hire Forum) and emphasised that the recommendation to delay the implementation of the Policy would go some way to mitigate the impact. However, the Council was required to balance the difficulties faced by the taxi and private hire trade against the overarching responsibility to ensure public safety and also to address the climate emergency by removing older, higher polluting vehicles.

At the invitation of the Leader, Councillors Read and Brook addressed Cabinet as summarised briefly below.

Councillor Read

Noted that a number of drivers had made comments at the Licensing and Regulation Committee and referred Cabinet to the minutes of that meeting where a number of other matters were raised, including the disability awareness training of drivers. Expressed concern that the Working Party established to review the Policy did not include cross party membership.

Councillor Brook

Welcomed the Policy review and the consultation undertaken with drivers. Supported the report and the Policy as proposed.

Councillor Porter responded to comments made and thanked Councillors for their support.

Cabinet agreed the following for the reasons set out above and outlined in the report.

RESOLVED:

- 1. That the amendments as highlighted yellow in Appendix 1 of the report be approved, and;
- 2. That the Statement of Licensing Policy with respect to Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles, Drivers and Private Hire Operators (as amended) be approved and adopted as set out in Appendix 1 of the report, with effect from 1 December 2020.

7. **FUTURE ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION**

RESOLVED:

That the list of future items, as set out in the Forward Plan for December 2020, be noted.

The meeting commenced at 9.30 am and concluded at 11.40 am

Chairperson