WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL DECISION RECORD VERSION 3. NOVEMBER 2020

| Officer Completing the Form: Tracy Haskett | |
|--|--|
| Lead Director: Chas Bradfield | |
| Subject: Bluebell Way Whiteley TRO | |

Details of Decision: Please provide a brief explanation as to what decision was made, including any financial implications. This should be done in easy to understand, nontechnical language - as this wording will appear on the website for the public to read. Also please remember all staff will be able to see this document.

A proposed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to introduce lengths of no waiting at any time (double yellow lines) on Bluebell Way, Whiteley and on Silver Birch Way at its junction with Bluebell Way was advertised on 18/11/2020. The Order is required to prevent obstruction, to maintain the free-flow of traffic and to improve visibility and road safety.

No objections were received from statutory consultees which included the emergency services and Hampshire County Council.

Winchester City Council Ward Members, Hampshire County Member, Whiteley Town Council, and The North Whiteley Development Forum responded in support of the making of the proposed Traffic Regulation Order.

During public advertisement of the proposed TRO 17 representations were received (5 supporting, 4 objecting and 8 supporting subject to modifications). All representations have been carefully considered and a response is provided on the summary of representations.

The proposed TRO is a balance between maintaining parking provision with improving access and safety, and on this basis Winchester City Ward Councillors, the HCC Councillor and the Town Council maintain their support for the scheme.

Therefore the recommendations are:

- To introduce the proposed Traffic Regulation Order for waiting restrictions on Bluebell Way and Silver Birch Way as advertised.
- That the Service Lead Legal be delegated authority to make the Traffic Regulation Order.

(Note: The TRO will also amend The Hampshire (Various Roads, Whiteley) (Parking Places and Restriction of Waiting) Order 2004 to bring it in line with restrictions on street in Leafy Lane and Parkway).

| Type of Decision: (please tick. see reverse for definitions) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | □Key Decision (Executive) & Subject to Call-In (see section 2A on reverse of this form) | | | |
| | ⊠Significant Operational Decision (see section 2B (1) on reverse of this form) | | | |
| | □Other Decisions to be Published (see section 2B (2) on reverse of this form) | | | |
| | □Administrative Decision (see section 3 on reverse of this form) | | | |

Reason for the Decision: A brief overview of your reasons for taking this course of action.

To give authority to progress with making the TRO in light of receiving some objections from residents who will have less on-street parking available to them.

Alternative Options Considered & Rejected: All alternative options considered need to be outlined here. Please include detail of any representations received. This will include your response to any alternatives suggested by those making representation and the reasons why these alternatives were rejected.

The extent of the restrictions was consulted upon prior to advertisement of the Traffic Regulation Order in conjunction with Ward Councillors and Whiteley Town Council, and in response to feedback from residents the extent of the proposed restrictions were reduced as much as is feasibly possible without impacting on the effectiveness of the proposed TRO and causing other safety related issues.

Therefore the only alternative which would be to reduce the restrictions further has been discounted.

The alternative to not make the proposed TRO is discounted as this would not allow for safe passage of general traffic and construction traffic.

Supporting Information: If your decision relates to delegated authority derived from a specific Committee resolution, please confirm the name of the Committee, the date of the meeting and paste the resolution into this box.

See accompanying drawing, draft Order and summary of representations received.

Declared Officer and/or Member interests: List any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision and, in respect of any declared conflict of interest, any note of dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer. None.

| Departmental Review | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------|--|
| Legal review: | Tick this box to confirm legal team have reviewed proposed decision | \boxtimes | |
| Finance review: | Tick this box to confirm finance team have reviewed proposed decision | \boxtimes | |
| Other review: | Tick this box to confirm any other departmental review of proposed decision (and specify department) | | |
| | | | |

Are the details of the decision open or exempt?

| ⊠Open | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| □Part Exempt. Please expand | | |
| | | |
| □Exempt. Please expand | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Decision Taker (name): | Decision Taker (Signature): | Date: 03/02/2021 |
| Chas Bradfield – Strategic Director (Place) | C Bradfield | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Call In dates (key decisions only) and Implementation date: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Commencement of call in: (date) | Click here to enter a date. (Please refer to Dem Services for this) | | | |
| Last date for call in: (date) | Click here to enter a date. (Please refer to Dem Services for this) | | | |
| Planned Implementation Date: | Click here to enter a date. | | | |

Notes.

1) Why record officer decisions?

The <u>Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements)</u> (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 require local authorities to produce a written statement of an executive decision made by an officer as soon as is reasonably practicable after the decision is taken (see Paragraph 13). This written statement must include the information requested in the questions of the pro forma on the previous page.

2) What sort of decisions are there?

- a) **Key decisions**. A key decision is defined by Regulation 8 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 as being an executive decision which is likely:
 - i. To result in the local authority incurring expenditure, which is or the making of savings which are significant having regard to the local authority's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; (For Winchester City Council, the financial limit above which a decision is regarded as significant is £250,000 per year), or;
 - ii. To be significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards or electoral divisions in the area of the local authority.

A decision taker may only make a key decision in accordance with the requirements of the Cabinet Procedure Rules, Access to Information Procedure Rules (Part 4) and the Officer Scheme of Delegation (Part 3) of this Constitution.

- b) Non-Key Decisions. Officers will usually take non-key executive decisions. A non-key decision is an executive decision that does not meet either criterion of a key decision as laid out above. These decisions are divided into significant operational decisions and administrative decisions.
 - Significant Operational (Non-Key) Decisions. This is a decision in relation to a Council or executive function which is not a key decision and results in one of the following:
 - i. Revenue expenditure or making savings (including the receipt or loss of income) between £100,000 and £250,000 per year.
 - ii. Capital expenditure (i.e. if they involve entering into new commitments and/or making savings) and/or contract awards of between £100,000 and £250,000
 - iii. When, in the opinion of the Chief Executive, the Section 151 Officer or Monitoring Officer, a published record of the decision is required to provide openness and transparency.
 - iv. A significant decision should be recorded in order to comply with Regulation 13 (Recording of executive decisions made by individuals) of The Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 (No. 2089).
 - 2. "Other" Decision. Regulation 7 of the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulation 2014 requires a written record to be produced as soon as reasonably practicable after an officer has made a decision under delegation which a) grants a permission or licence or b) affects the rights of an individual.
 - 3. Administrative Decision: (these do not require recording on this form unless one or more of the following applies)
 - i. There is a financial implication;
 - ii. It is in conflict with the Budget and Policy Framework or other approved policies approved by full Council; and
 - iii. It raises new issues of policy.

3) Which officer decisions need to be recorded on this form?

Officers need to record:

- 1) any key decision, i.e., decisions that have a significant effect on 2 or more divisions, or have a cost/saving of £250,000 or more. (see 2A above)
- any Significant Operational Decisions. (see 2B (1) above)
- 3) "Other" officer decisions regarding the granting of a permission or licence or that affect the rights of an individual (see 2B (2) above)
- 4) Administrative decisions for which there is a financial implication.

4) What are the relevant processes to be followed?

For all decisions, the report author needs to complete the Forthcoming Decisions record in Sharepoint.

Establish which type of decision it is (see 2 A and 2B above.)

Most officer decisions require to be recorded on this form (see 3 above) Once completed, please ensure that it is reviewed by legal, finance and the relevant Director prior to signing. Once approved, the form should be forwarded to Democratic Services for adding to the Council's website where it can be viewed by members of the public on the decisions page (unless it is an Administrative decision).