Risk Register - Key:

Likelihood Rating

It is unlikely that in many cases the probability of a risk occurring can be calculated in a statistically robust fashion as we do not have the data to do so. However, as an indicator, the likelihood is defined by the following probability of a risk occurring:

Likelihood	Probability
Highly Unlikely	1% to 25% chance in 5 years
Unlikely	26% to 50% chance in 5 years
Likely	51% to 75% chance in 5 years
Highly Likely	76% to 100% chance in 5 years

Risk Proximity

The score for risk proximity supports the Council in focusing on certain risks that may occur soon and ignore risks that will not occur in the near future. This enables risk management to be more efficient.

A number of between 1 and 4, where 1 means the risk is about to occur within the next 3 months and 4 means the risk is not likely to occur within the next year is provided.

Financial Impact

The financial impact to the Council is an important consideration, however this should be viewed alongside the likelihood of the risk occurring and not assumed to be inevitable.

The scoring of the financial impact relates to the cost to the Council if that risk were to occur, however it should not relate to the cost of managing or mitigating the risk.

The financial impact is scored as highly likely it would be prudent for the Council to ensure that it has set aside an adequate financial provision. The financial impact is scored as follows:

Risk Proximity Score	Time scale
1	Occurring within the next 3 months
2	Occurring within the next 6 months
3	Occurring within the next 1 year
4	Unlikely to occur within 1 year

Financial Impact Score	Time scale
£	£1 – £20,000
££	£20,0001 - £200,000
£££	£200,001 - £2,000,000
££££	£2,000,001 plus

Impact Rating
The following table provides the definitions which should be used when determining whether a risk would have a Low, Moderate, Major or Significant impact

	Low (1)	Moderate (2)	Major (3)	Significant (4)
Financial	Less than £20K	£20k or over and less than £200K	£200K or over and less than- £2MK	£2M plus
Service Provision	No effect	Slightly Reduced	Service Suspended Short Term / reduced	Service Suspended Long Term Statutory duties not delivered
Health & Safety	Sticking Plaster / first aider	Broken bones/illness Lost time, accident or occupational ill health	Loss of Life/Major illness – Major injury incl broken limbs/hospital admittance. Major ill health	Major loss of life/Large scale major illness
Morale		Some hostile relationship and minor non cooperation	Industrial action	Mass staff leaving/Unable to attract staff
Reputation	No media attention / minor letters	Adverse Local media Leader	Adverse National publicity	Remembered for years
Govt relations	One off single complaint	Poor Assessment(s)	Service taken over temporarily	Service taken over permanently

Risk Number: 1			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Project is not financially viable								
Causes	Current Risk Score Consequences Current Controls					Current Risk Score Risk	Risk	Financial
Causes	Consequences	Current Con	1005	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	impact	
Full Business Case gateway does not confirm that project is financially viable. The management fee proposed by the potential operator and/or the cost of construction are not in line with current estimates Significant and unforeseeable change in external financial/macro economic position ("Viable" = that the annualised cost of the project to the Council based on the preferred facility mix is sufficiently close to the income expected to be generated from a management contract in relation to be a sustainable investment).	Project may be halted for review of underlying assumptions. Revisions are tested and agreed. Project recommences on revised brief, timetable and cost estimate.	cost/income facility man 'project cred cost elemen Provide suf to permit actincome. Cloudesign team Stage 4 before Continue to through Bus market test economic prontingency certainty who manage pu	ficient detail to consultancy team occurate projections of cost and ose liaison with contractor and on. Progressing design to RIBA fore passing to contractor. The assess all aspects of the project siness Case Modelling. Softing exercise undertaken. Monitor prospects, include substantial of in forecasts and secure financial of the project line possible. The blic expectations of project lines, highlight danger of as.	Likely (Probability 51% - 75%)	Significant (4)	2	££	
Further actions?			Target Date	Residual Risk Score				
				Likeli	hood	Imp	act	
Cabinet Committee will make key decisions Decisions requiring approval of full Council be referred accordingly. Regular reporting of	under the Constitution will	Feb 2019		Unli (Probability		Signit (4		

Risk Number: 2			Risk Owner: Project Executive						
Risk Title: Absence of financial support from project partners									
				Current F	Risk Score		Financial impact		
Causes	Consequences	Current Controls Likelihood Impact Proxii		Risk Proximity					
External grants and partner funding does not materialise or offers withdrawn because Council cannot meet funders' requirements	Project could be rendered nonviable by increasing Council proportion of cost. Review project as in R1.	organisatio	egotiations with partner ns and external funders. Maintain senior level to create alignment of s.	Likely (Probability 51% - 75%)	Significant (4)	2	££££		
Further actions?			Target Date	Residual R		isk Score			
				Likeli	hood	lmp	act		
Translation of negotiated arrangement into I Continue Financial appraisal of contribution financial contribution.		Nov 2018			ikely 26% - 50%)	Signif (4			

Risk Number: 3			Risk Owner: Project Executive						
Risk Title: Council unable to recover VAT on construction costs									
				Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact		
Causes Consequences	Consequences	Current Controls		Likelihood	Impact	Proximity			
Project and governance structure means that Council unable to recover VAT incurred on construction costs.	The project cost would increase significantly and possibly become non-viable or show major overspend if HMRC refuses claims.	appropriate	available VAT advice at stages and before decision eflect VAT advice in negotiations partners.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	1	££		
Further actions?	Further actions?		Target Date	Residual F		Risk Score			
					hood	Imp	act		
Advice reflected in decisions taken, ongoing	advice as required.	Nov 2018			Unlikely (26% - 50%)		Major (3)		

Risk Number: 4			Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Stakeholders expectations on pricing and usage not met							
Causes Consequences	Consequences	Current Co.	ntrole	Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact
	Consequences	Current Controls		Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Pricing and usage strategy necessary to create viable project is not in line with stakeholder expectations. i.e. hire charges for club use, membership levels etc.	Business Case and procurement of operator specification may need to be reviewed if Council wishes to alter pricing and usage strategy.	Soft market operators.	alogue with main users. t test assumptions with potential pinet agrees pricing and usage	Likely (Probability 51% - 75%)	Moderate	2	£
Further actions?			Target Date	Residual Risk Score			
				Likeli		•	act
Dialogue with likely user groups. Ongoing s determine external views.	oft market testing to	Nov 2018		Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Low (1)	

Risk Number: 5 Risk Owner: Project Executive Risk Title: Stakeholders dissatisfied with facility mix Financial Current Risk Score impact Risk Causes Consequences **Current Controls** Proximity Likelihood Impact Stakeholders dissatisfied with proposed Council delays decision Engage effectively through all stages of the Unlikely Moderate 2 ££ facilities seek more consultation even after making. Cost increase design and planning process. (Probability (2) arising from either 26% - 50%) decisions are made. agreement to Remain firm on delivery to time and budget. stakeholder views or time delay could jeopardise project. Further actions? Target Date Residual Risk Score Likelihood Impact Continue consultation and engagement process. N/A Unlikely Low

(Probability 26% - 50%)

(1)

Risk Number: 6			Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Project programme/project deliv	ery delayed						
Causes	Consequences	Current Cor	ntrols	Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact
	Concoquonoco			Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Delay in programme/ delivery and resulting cost implications due to staff capacity and other information and or decisions being made/available at relevant stages of the project.	Potential for project delay due to a number of potential factors. This could also include the delivery of associated mitigation, accommodation or facilitating works.	to undertake Mace appoint cost consult Civil & Structure engineering Other special Allocate sufficient prioritising will dentify and accommodal	ctural and Building Services consultancies appointed alisms appointed. ficient staff resources by within project programme. undertake mitigation, ation or facilitating works well in d ensure decisions made on	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Major (3)	1	££
Further actions?			Target Date		Residual Ri		
Monthly meetings established between the I teams. Good liaison with agencies including Environment Agency, Southern Water and condentify issues in time to adequately address	g Sport England, other utility companies to	Ongoing		Likelihood Imp Unlikely Mod (Probability 26% - 50%) (2		erate	

Risk Number: 7 Risk Owner: Project Executive

Risk Title: Unexpected costs arise for keeping River Park Leisure Centre open

Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Current F	Risk Score	Risk	Financial impact
Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Unexpected costs arise for keeping existing River Park Leisure Centre (RPLC) open	Rising financial costs to keep RPLC open and running may require difficult decisions between additional capital expenditure and facility availability depending on scale. Expenditure on RPLC depletes reserves.	Monitor condition of existing facility carefully. Allow some contingency in budget planning if possible. Identify, approve & monitor maintenance costs.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Moderate- Major (2/3)	3	££
Further actions?	Further actions?		Likeli	Residual Ri		pact
Keep building condition under review. Will r closes. The 2018/19 capital programme incundertake essential capital works required to	ludes a budget to	N/A	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Mod	derate (2)

Risk Number: 8 Risk Owner: Project Executive

Risk Title: Legal challenges are raised

Causes	Consequences	onsequences Current Controls	Current I	Risk Score	Risk	Financial impact
	Consequences	Current Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Legal challenges to any aspect of decision making and or procurement.	If legal challenges are successful the project is halted. If unsuccessful - a delay in the development and additional costs to the project which may render it unviable.	Ensure any legal challenges can be mitigated by obtaining expert advice and evidence to guide and inform processes. Raise awareness of implications of delay. Input from advisory panels.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	3	££
Further actions?	Further actions?		Residual Risk Score			
Continue to obtain expert advice on progue	mont and to inform	N/A		ikoly	Imp Ma	pact
Continue to obtain expert advice on procure decision making	inent and to inform	IVA	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)) (3)	•

Risk Number: 9 Risk Owner: Project Executive

Risk Title: Planning permission is refused

Causes Consequence	Consequences	Current Controls	Current R	isk Score	Risk	Financial impact
			Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Planning application submitted is not in line with planning policy.	Project will require revisions with cost implications. Could require reconsideration of project content.	Continue to engage intensively with planning representatives and consultative bodies including South Downs National Park Authority. Carry out pre application and relative screening. Engage with the nominated Case Officer early in the project process. Reflect input carefully from 4 stages of engagement Input from Advisory panels External planning consultant appointed.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	3	££
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk Score		
		j j	Likeli	hood	Impa	act
Continue to liaise with Case Officer and co	nsultative bodies on latest	Oct 2018	Unlikely		Lo	
progress with planning application.			(Probability	26% - 50%)	(1)

Risk Number: 10			Risk Owner: Project Executive									
Risk Title: Unable to agree highway requirements												
Causes	Consequences	Current Co	ntrole	Current Risk Score		Risk Proximity	Financial impact					
		Current Con	Current Controls		Impact							
Hampshire County Council are not satisfied with highway proposals for Bar End Road put forward as part of planning application and agreement cannot be reached	Could lead to additional land requirements and costs	Continue di	scussions with HCC	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Moderate (2)	1	££					
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk Score								
				Likelihood		Impact						
Further technical work with Highway Authority		Sep 2018		Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)			Moderate (2)					

Risk Number: 11			Risk Owner: Project Executive									
Risk Title: Technical studies identify adverse issues												
Causes	Consequences	Current Co	otrole	Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact					
		Current Con	TILL OIS	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	impact					
Issues are identified that require further investigation or mitigation.	Could affect delivery if results have significant cost implications. Could affect ability to deliver certain aspiration.	Ensure co Review the update as	e studies early in process. rrect scope for studies. e scope of these studies and required in conjunction with design team.	Highly Likely (Probability 76% -100%)	Moderate (2)	1	££					
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk Score								
				Likelihood		Impact						
Review and update studies as required - technical studies undertaken include on site conditions and utilities in consultation with the design team. These technical studies have and will continue to inform the design and project programme.		Sep 2018		Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Moderate (2)						