#### Risk Register - Key:

#### Likelihood Rating

It is unlikely that in many cases the probability of a risk occurring can be calculated in a statistically robust fashion as we do not have the data to do so. However, as an indicator, the likelihood is defined by the following probability of a risk occurring:

Likelihood	Probability
Highly Unlikely	1% to 25% chance in 5 years
Unlikely	26% to 50% chance in 5 years
Likely	51% to 75% chance in 5 years
Highly Likely	76% to 100% chance in 5 years

#### Risk Proximity

The score for risk proximity supports the Council in focusing on certain risks that may occur soon and ignore risks that will not occur in the near future. This enables risk management to be more efficient.

A number of between 1 and 4, where 1 means the risk is about to occur within the next 3 months and 4 means the risk is not likely to occur within the next year is provided.

#### Financial Impact

The financial impact to the Council is an important consideration, however this should be viewed alongside the likelihood of the risk occurring and not assumed to be inevitable.

The scoring of the financial impact relates to the cost to the Council if that risk were to occur, however it should not relate to the cost of managing or mitigating the risk.

The financial impact is scored as highly likely it would be prudent for the Council to ensure that it has set aside an adequate financial provision. The financial impact is scored as follows:

Risk Proximity Score	Time scale
1	Occurring within the next 3 months
2	Occurring within the next 6 months
3	Occurring within the next 1 year
4	Unlikely to occur within 1 year

Financial Impact Score	Time scale
£	£1 – £20,000
££	£20,0001 - £200,000
£££	£200,001 - £2,000,000
££££	£2,000,001 plus

Impact Rating
The following table provides the definitions which should be used when determining whether a risk would have a Low, Moderate, Major or Significant impact

	Low (1)	Moderate (2)	Major (3)	Significant (4)
Financial	Less than £20K	£20k or over and less than £200K	£200K or over and less than- £2MK	£2M plus
Service Provision	No effect	Slightly Reduced	Service Suspended Short Term / reduced	Service Suspended Long Term Statutory duties not delivered
Health & Safety	Sticking Plaster / first aider	Broken bones/illness Lost time, accident or occupational ill health  Loss of Life/Major illness - Major injury incl broken limbs/hospital admittance Major ill health		Major loss of life/Large scale major illness
Morale		Some hostile relationship and minor non cooperation	Industrial action	Mass staff leaving/Unable to attract staff
Reputation	No media attention / minor letters	Adverse Local media Leader	Adverse National publicity	Remembered for years
Govt relations	One off single complaint	Poor Assessment(s)	Service taken over temporarily	Service taken over permanently

Risk Number: 1			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Project is not financially viable								
Causes	Concequences	Current Co			Current Risk Score		Financial	
Causes	Consequences	Current Co	ittois	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	impact	
Full Business Case gateway does not confirm that project is financially viable.  The management fee proposed by the potential operator and/or the cost of construction are not in line with current estimates Significant and unforeseeable change in external financial/macro economic position  ("Viable" = that the annualised cost of the project to the Council based on the preferred facility mix is sufficiently close to the income expected to be generated from a management contract in relation to be a sustainable investment).	Project is halted for review of underlying assumptions. Revisions are tested and agreed. Project recommences on revised brief, timetable and cost estimate.		gures for operator management istruction cost inputted to Full ase		Significant (4)	1	3333	
Further actions?			Target Date	Residual Risk Score				
					ihood	·	act	
Cabinet Committee will make key decisions Decisions requiring approval of full Council to be referred accordingly. Regular reporting of	under the Constitution will	Feb 2019		Highly Unlikely (Probability 1% - 25%)		Significant (4)		

Risk Number: 2			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Absence of financial support from project partners								
				Current F	Risk Score		Financial impact	
Causes	Consequences	Current Controls		Likelihood	Impact	Risk Proximity		
External grants and partner funding does not materialise or offers withdrawn because Council cannot meet funders' requirements	Project could be rendered nonviable by increasing Council proportion of cost. Review project as in R1.	organisatio	egotiations with partner ns and external funders. tner/Funding agreements in place.		Significant (4)	2	££	
Further actions?	Further actions?		Target Date	Residual Ris		sk Score		
				Likeli	ihood	Imp	act	
Translation of negotiated arrangement into legal documentation. Continue Financial appraisal of contribution's value to the scheme vs financial contribution.		Feb 2019		Highly Unlikely (Probability 1% - 25%)		Significant (4)		

Risk Number: 3			Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Council unable to recover VAT on construction costs							
Causes	Company Com		ntrole	Current F	Risk Score	Risk Proximity	Financial impact
Causes	Consequences	onsequences Current Controls		Likelihood	Impact		
Project and governance structure means that Council unable to recover VAT incurred on construction costs.	The project cost would increase significantly and possibly become non-viable or show major overspend if HMRC refuses claims.	appropriate	available VAT advice at stages and before decision eflect VAT advice in negotiations g partners.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	1	££
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual R		isk Score	
				Likelihood		Impact	
Advice reflected in decisions taken, advice	obtained and utilised.	Spring 2019		Highly Unlikely (Probability 1% - 25%)		Major (3)	

Risk Number: 4			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Stakeholders expectations on pricing and usage not met								
Causes	Consequences Current Con		ntrole	Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact	
			TILL OIS	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity		
Pricing and usage strategy necessary to create viable project is not in line with stakeholder expectations. i.e. hire charges for club use, membership levels etc.	Business Case and procurement of operator specification may need to be reviewed if Council wishes to alter pricing and usage strategy.		alogue with main users and early nt with appointed operator		Moderate (2)	2	£	
Further actions?			Target Date	Residual Risk Score				
				Likelihood		Impact		
Dialogue with likely user groups.		Ongoing the	rough construction process	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Low (1)		

Risk Number: 5			Risk Owner: Project Executive					
Risk Title: Project delivery delayed								
				Current Risk Score		Financial		

Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Current R	Risk Score	Risk	Financial impact
	Consequences	Current Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Delay in programme/ delivery and resulting cost implications and or building not delivered to required standards	Potential for project delay due to a number of potential factors.  This could also include the delivery of associated mitigation, accommodation or facilitating works.  The quality of the design may not be reflected in the quality of the construction.	Mace appointed as project managers and cost consultants.  LA architects retained as Technical Advisor  The Council has appointed a Site Supervisor to oversee and monitor the works  The Council's Estates team will help to ensure that works are progressed in accordance with the design and specification.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Major (3)	1	££
Further actions?	construction.	Target Date		Residual Ri	sk Score	
Tuttier detions:		Talgot Bate	Likeli	hood		pact

Risk Number: 6		Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Unexpected costs arise for keep	oing River Park Leisure Centre open					
			Current Risk Score		Financial	

Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Current F	Risk Score	Risk	Financial impact
Causes	Consequences	Current Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	
Unexpected costs arise for keeping	Rising financial costs to	Monitor condition of existing facility	Unlikely	Moderate-	3	££
existing River Park Leisure Centre (RPLC)	keep RPLC open and	carefully.	(Probability	Major		
open	running may require		26% - 50%)	(2/3)		
	difficult decisions	Allow some contingency in budget planning				
	between additional	if possible. Identify, approve & monitor				
	capital expenditure and	maintenance costs.				
	facility availability					
	depending on scale.					
	Expenditure on RPLC					
	depletes reserves.					
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Ri	sk Score	
			Likel	ihood	lm	pact
Keep building condition under review. Will r	emain a risk until RPLC	N/A	Unlikely		Mod	derate
closes. The 2018/19 capital programme incumentation undertake essential capital works required to			(Probability	(Probability 26% - 50%) (2)		(2)

Risk Number: 7			Risk Owner: Project Executive				
Risk Title: Legal challenges are raised							
Causes	Consequences	Current Cor	ntrols	Current Risk Score		Risk	Financial impact
				Likelihood	Impact	Proximity	impact
Legal challenges to any aspect of decision making and or procurement.	If legal challenges are successful the project is halted. If unsuccessful - a delay in the development and additional costs to the project which may render it unviable.	mitigated be evidence t	y legal challenges can be by obtaining expert advice and o guide and inform processes. reness of implications of delay.	Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)	Significant (4)	3	££
Further actions?		Target Date		Residual Risk Score			
				Likelihood		Impact	
Continue to obtain expert advice on procurement and to inform decision making		N/A		Unlikely (Probability 26% - 50%)		Major (3)	